

From maps between coloured operads to Swiss-Cheese algebras

Julien Ducoulombier

Abstract

In the present work, we extract pairs of topological spaces from maps between coloured operads. We prove that those pairs are weakly equivalent to explicit algebras over the one dimensional Swiss-Cheese operad SC_1 . Thereafter, we show that the pair formed by the space of long embeddings and the manifold calculus limit of (l) -immersions from $\mathbb{R}^d$ to $\mathbb{R}^n$ is an SC_{d+1} -algebra assuming the Dwyer-Hess' conjecture.

Introduction

A multiplicative operad O is a non-symmetric operad under the associative operad $\mathcal{A}s$. In [23], McClure and Smith build a cosimplicial space $O^\bullet$ from a multiplicative operad O and they show that, under technical conditions, its homotopy totalization has the homotopy type of a double loop space. Turchin in [25] and independently Dwyer and Hess in [9] identify the double loop space by proving that, under the assumption $O(0) \simeq O(1) \simeq *$, the following weak equivalences hold:

$$hoTot(O^\bullet) \simeq \Omega^2 Operad_{ns}^h(\mathcal{A}s; O) \simeq \Omega^2 Operad_{ns}^h(\mathcal{A}s_{>0}; O),$$

where $Operad_{ns}^h(\mathcal{A}s; O)$ is the derived mapping space of non-symmetric operads and $\mathcal{A}s_{>0}$ is the non-unital version of the associative operad. In [8], we extend this result to the coloured case by using the Swiss-Cheese operad SC_2 which is a relative version of the little cubes operad C_2 . In that case, a typical example of SC_2 -algebra is a pair of topological spaces on the form

$$(\Omega^2 X; \Omega^2(X; Y) := \Omega(hofib(f : Y \rightarrow X))),$$

where $f : Y \rightarrow X$ is a continuous map between pointed spaces. In order to identify typical SC_2 -algebras, we introduce a two-coloured operad $\mathcal{A}ct = \pi_0(SC_1)$ whose algebras are pairs of spaces $(A; B)$ with A a topological monoid and B a left A -module. From a pointed operad O (i.e. a two-coloured operad O endowed with a map $\eta : \mathcal{A}ct \rightarrow O$), a pair $(O_c; O_o)$ of cosimplicial spaces is built and the pair $(hoTot(O_c); hoTot(O_o))$ is proved to be weakly equivalent to the explicit SC_2 -algebra

$$(\Omega^2 Operad_{ns}^h(\mathcal{A}s_{>0}; O_c); \Omega^2(Operad_{ns}^h(\mathcal{A}s_{>0}; O_c); Operad_{ns}^h(\mathcal{A}ct_{>0}; O))), \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{A}ct_{>0}$ is the non-unital version of the operad $\mathcal{A}ct$.

Pointed operads are helpful in understanding cosimplicial spaces. However, it requires an important amount of work to identify topological spaces with the homotopy totalization of cosimplicial spaces (coming from multiplicative operads). In many cases, the relations are satisfied up to homotopy. For instance, as soon as $d > 1$, we don't know a cosimplicial model for the space of long embeddings compactly supported in higher dimensions

$$\overline{Emb}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) := hofib(Emb_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow Imm_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)).$$

Université Paris 13, Sorbonne Paris Cité, LAGA, CNRS, UMR 7539, 93430 Villetaneuse, France
 Key words: coloured operads, loop spaces, space of knots, model categories
 ducoulombier@math.univ-paris13.fr
 Homepage: <http://ducoulombier-math.esy.es/>

However, in the context of symmetric operads, Arone and Turchin develop in [1] a machinery to identify spaces of embeddings with derived mapping space of infinitesimal bimodules. In particular, for $n - d > 2$, they prove that there is the weak equivalence

$$\overline{Emb}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq Ibimod_{C_d}^h(C_d; C_n). \quad (2)$$

Since the homotopy totalization can be expressed as the derived mapping space of non-symmetric infinitesimal bimodules over $\mathcal{A}s$, it is natural to expect that derived mapping spaces of infinitesimal bimodules over C_d are weakly equivalent to $(d + 1)$ -iterated loop spaces. The Dwyer-Hess' conjecture asserts that if $\eta : C_d \rightarrow O$ is a map of symmetric operads, then the following weak equivalences hold under the assumption $O(0) \simeq O(1) \simeq *$:

$$Ibimod_{C_d}^h(C_d; O) \simeq \Omega^d Bimod_{C_d}^h(C_d; O) \simeq \Omega^{d+1} Operad^h(C_d; O).$$

In the present work, we extend the weak equivalence (1) to pairs of topological spaces which don't necessarily arise from homotopy totalizations. For this purpose, we consider the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules, denoted by $Bimod_{P-Q}$, where P and Q are two well pointed coloured operads. First, we introduce a model category structure on $Bimod_{P-Q}$ and we adapt the Boardman-Vogt resolution (well known for operads, see [3] and [4]) to obtain cofibrant replacements. In particular, if $\eta : O \rightarrow O'$ is a map of operads such that O is a well pointed Σ -cofibrant operad and the spaces $O(1)$ and $O'(1)$ are contractible, then we show that the following weak equivalence holds:

$$Bimod_O^h(O; O') \simeq \Omega Operad^h(O; O'). \quad (3)$$

This proves the right weak equivalence of the Dwyer-Hess conjecture. In order to recognize typical $\mathcal{SC}_1$ -algebras, we introduce a Quillen adjunction between the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules and a subcategory of coloured operads (with set of colours $S = \{o; c\}$) denoted by $Op[P; Q]$:

$$\mathcal{L} : Bimod_{P-Q} \rightleftarrows Op[P; Q] : \mathcal{R}. \quad (4)$$

By using explicit cofibrant resolutions, we prove the main theorem of the paper:

Theorem. [Theorem 4.19] *Let O be a well pointed Σ -cofibrant operad. Let $\eta : \mathcal{L}(O) \rightarrow O'$ be a map in $Op[O; O]$ and O'_c be the restriction of the operad O' to the colour c . If the spaces $O(1)$ and $O'_c(1)$ are contractible, then the pair of topological spaces*

$$(Bimod_O^h(O; O'_c); Bimod_O^h(O; \mathcal{R}(O')))$$

is weakly equivalent to the explicit $\mathcal{SC}_1$ -algebra

$$(\Omega Operad^h(O; O'_c); \Omega(Operad^h(O; O'_c); Op[O; \emptyset]^h(\mathcal{L}(O); O'))).$$

By using the above theorem, we are able to identify $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebras. In order to do that, we introduce the two-coloured operad $CC_d := \mathcal{L}(C_d)$ in the category $Op[C_d; C_d]$ where C_d is the d -dimensional little cubes operad. According to the notation of Theorem 4.19, O is equal to C_d . As a consequence, one has the following statement:

Theorem. [Theorem 4.27] *Assume that the Dwyer-Hess conjecture is true. Let $\eta : CC_d \rightarrow O'$ be a map in $Op[C_d; C_d]$ such that $O'_c(0)$, $O'_c(1)$ and $\mathcal{R}(O')(0)$ are contractible. The pair of topological spaces*

$$(Ibimod_{C_d}^h(C_d; O'_c); Ibimod_{C_d}^h(C_d; \mathcal{R}(O')))$$

is weakly equivalent to the explicit $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebra

$$(\Omega^{d+1} Operad^h(C_d; O'_c); \Omega^{d+1}(Operad^h(C_d; O'_c); Op[C_d; \emptyset]^h(CC_d; O'))).$$

Furthermore, the method produces (relative) deloopings for truncated infinitesimal bimodules. Roughly speaking, $T_k Ibimod_O$ is the restriction of infinitesimal bimodules to operations with at most k inputs (see Section 1.3). The restriction functors $T_k Ibimod_O \rightarrow T_{k-1} Ibimod_O$ give rise a tower which plays an important role in understanding the manifold calculus tower associated to the space of embeddings. Under the conditions of Theorem 4.27, if $T_k Operad$ denotes the category of k -truncated operads, then there are the following weak equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} T_k Ibimod_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O'_c)) &\simeq \Omega^{d+1}(T_k Operad^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O'_c))), \\ T_k Ibimod_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(\mathcal{R}(O'))) &\simeq \Omega^{d+1}(T_k Operad^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O'_c)); T_k Op[C_d; \emptyset]^h(T_k(CC_d); T_k(O'))). \end{aligned}$$

An application of the previous results concerns the spaces of long embeddings. Due to the weak equivalence (2), we know that the space of long embeddings is related to the map of operads $\eta_1 : C_d \rightarrow C_n$. In a similar way, Dobrinskaya and Turchin show in [7] that the manifold calculus limit of (l) -immersions is connected to the C_n -bimodule $C_n^{(l)}$, called the non- (l) -overlapping little cubes bimodule. More precisely, the following weak equivalences hold:

$$T_k \overline{Imm}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq T_k Ibimod_{C_d}^h(C_d; C_n^{(l)}) \quad \text{and} \quad T_\infty \overline{Imm}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq Ibimod_{C_d}^h(C_d; C_n^{(l)}),$$

where $T_k \overline{Imm}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is called the k -th polynomial approximation (see Section 5). This bimodule doesn't arise from an operad under the little cubes operad. However, there is a map of C_n -bimodules $\eta_2 : C_n \rightarrow C_n^{(l)}$ induced by the inclusion. From the maps η_1 and η_2 , we build a two-coloured operad Θ under CC_d such that $\Theta_c = C_n$ and $\mathcal{R}(\Theta) = C_n^{(l)}$. As a consequence of the main theorem of the paper, the pairs of spaces

$$(T_k \overline{Emb}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n); T_k \overline{Imm}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)) \quad \text{and} \quad (\overline{Emb}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n); T_\infty \overline{Imm}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)) \quad (5)$$

are weakly equivalent to explicit $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebras.

Organization of the paper. The paper is divided into 5 sections. The *first section* gives an introduction on coloured operads and (infinitesimal) bimodules over coloured operads as well as the truncated versions of these notions. In particular, the little cubes operad, the Swiss-Cheese operad and the non- (l) -overlapping little cubes bimodule are defined.

In the *second section*, we give a presentation of the left adjoint functor to the forgetful functor from the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules to the category of S -sequences. This presentation is used to endow $Bimod_{P-Q}$ with a cofibrantly generated model category structure. Thereafter, we prove that a Boardman-Vogt type resolution yields explicit and functorial cofibrant replacements in the model category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules. We also show that similar statements hold true for truncated bimodules.

The *third section* is devoted to the proof of the weak equivalence (3). In order to do it, we recall the Boardman-Vogt resolution $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ of an operad O . Then, we introduce an alternative cofibrant replacement of the operad O in the category $Bimod_O$, denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$, such that there is an explicit map

$$\xi : \Omega Operad(\mathcal{BV}(O); O') \longrightarrow Bimod_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O); O').$$

Finally, we show that ξ , as well as its truncated version ξ_k , are weak equivalences by using towers of fibrations and technical tools coming from the homotopy theory of diagrams.

The *fourth section* is devoted to the proof of the main theorem 4.19. For this purpose, we give a presentation of the functor $\mathcal{L}$ and prove that the adjunction (4) is a Quillen adjunction. Then we change slightly the Boardman-Vogt resolution introduced in Section 2 in order to obtain explicit cofibrant replacements in the category $Op[O; \emptyset]$. Finally, by using Theorem 4.19 and the Dwyer-Hess' conjecture we identify $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebras from maps of operads $\eta : CC_d \rightarrow O'$.

In the *last section* we give an application of our results to the space of long embeddings in higher dimension. We introduce quickly the Goodwillie calculus as well as the relation between the manifold calculus tower and the space of infinitesimal bimodule maps. Then we show that the pairs (5) are weakly equivalent to an explicit typical $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebras.

Convention. By a space we mean a compactly generated Hausdorff space and by abuse of notation we denote by Top this category (see e.g. [19, section 2.4]). If X, Y and Z are spaces, then $Top(X; Y)$ is equipped with the compact-open topology in order to have a homeomorphism $Top(X; Top(Y; Z)) \cong Top(X \times Y; Z)$. By using the Serre fibrations, the category Top is endowed with a cofibrantly generated monoidal model structure. In the paper the categories considered are enriched over Top .

1 Bimodules and Ibimodules over coloured operads

In what follows, we cover the notion of operad with the little cubes operads and the notion of (infinitesimal) bimodule together with their truncated versions. For more details about these objects, we refer the reader to [1] and [22]. For our purpose, we focus on the operads with two colours $S = \{o; c\}$. In particular, we recall the definition of the d -dimensional Swiss-Cheese operad $\mathcal{SC}_d$ introduced by Voronov in [27] (see also Kontsevich in [21]). The non- (l) -overlapping little cubes bimodule, which is also described below, were introduced in [7] by Dobrinskaya and Turchin.

1.1 Topological coloured operads

Definition 1.1. Let S be a set called *the set of colours*. An S -sequence is a family of topological spaces

$$M := \{M(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1})\} \quad \text{with } s_i \in S \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

endowed with a right action of the symmetric group: for each configuration of $n+1$ elements in S and each permutation $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$, there is a continuous map

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma^* : M(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) &\longrightarrow M(s_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, s_{\sigma(n)}; s_{n+1}); \\ x &\longmapsto x \cdot \sigma \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

satisfying the relation $(x \cdot \sigma) \cdot \tau = x \cdot (\sigma\tau)$ with $\tau \in \Sigma_n$. A map between two S -sequences is given by a family of continuous maps compatible with the right action of the symmetric group. In the rest of the paper, we denote by $Seq(S)$ the category of S -sequences. Given an integer $k \geq 0$, we also consider the category of k -truncated S -sequences $T_k Seq(S)$. The objects are family of topological spaces

$$M := \{M(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1})\} \quad \text{with } s_i \in S \text{ and } n \leq k,$$

endowed with a right action of the symmetric group (6) with $n \leq k$. A (k -truncated) S -sequence is said to be pointed if there are distinguished elements $\{*_s \in O(s; s)\}_{s \in S}$ called *units*.

Definition 1.2. An S -operad is a pointed S -sequence O together with operations called *operadic compositions*

$$\circ_i : O(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times O(s'_1, \dots, s'_m; s_i) \longrightarrow O(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s'_1, \dots, s'_m, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}), \quad (7)$$

with $s_j, s'_j \in S$ and $1 \leq i \leq n$, satisfying compatibility with the right action of the symmetric group, associativity, commutativity and unit axioms. A map between two coloured operads should respect the operadic compositions. We denote by $Operad_S$ the categories of S -operads. Given an integer $k \geq 0$, we also consider the category of k -truncated S -operads $T_k Operad_S$. The objects are pointed k -truncated S -sequences endowed with operadic compositions (7) with $n+m-1 \leq k$ and $n \leq k$. One has an obvious functor

$$T_k(-) : Operad_S \longrightarrow T_k Operad_S.$$

Notation 1.3. If the set of colours S has one element, then an S -operad O is said to be uncoloured. In this case, O is a family of topological spaces $\{O(n)\}_{n \geq 0}$ together with operadic compositions

$$\circ_i : O(n) \times O(m) \longrightarrow O(n+m-1), \quad \text{with } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Let $Operad$ and $T_k Operad$ be the categories of uncoloured operads and k -truncated uncoloured operads respectively. On the other hand, if $S = \{o; c\}$, then the "open" colour o will be represented in red whereas the "closed" colour c will be represented in black.

Example 1.4. The uncoloured operad C_d^∞

A d -dimensional little cube is a continuous map $c : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow [0, 1]^d$ arising from an affine embedding preserving the direction of the axes. The operad C_d^∞ is the sequence $\{C_d^\infty(n)\}$ whose n -th component is given by n little cubes, that is, n -tuples $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle$ with c_i a d -dimensional little cube. The distinguished point in $C_d^\infty(1)$ is the identity little cube $id : [0, 1]^d \rightarrow [0, 1]^d$ whereas $\sigma \in \Sigma_n$ permutes the indexation:

$$\sigma^* : C_d^\infty(n) \longrightarrow C_d^\infty(n) ; \langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle \longmapsto \langle c_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, c_{\sigma(n)} \rangle.$$

The operadic compositions are given by the formula

$$\begin{aligned} \circ_i : C_d^\infty(n) \times C_d^\infty(m) &\longrightarrow C_d^\infty(n+m-1); \\ \langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle ; \langle c'_1, \dots, c'_m \rangle &\longmapsto \langle c_1, \dots, c_{i-1}, c_i \circ c'_1, \dots, c_i \circ c'_m, c_{i+1}, \dots, c_n \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

By convention $C_d^\infty(0)$ is the one point topological space and the operadic composition $\circ_i$ with this point consists in forgetting the i -th little cube.

Definition 1.5. Let S be a set and O be an S -operad. An algebra over the operad O , or O -algebra, is given by a family of topological spaces $X := \{X_s\}_{s \in S}$ endowed with operations

$$\mu : O(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times X_{s_1} \times \dots \times X_{s_n} \longrightarrow X_{s_{n+1}},$$

compatible with the operadic compositions and the right action of the symmetric group.

Example 1.6. The little cubes operad C_d

The d -dimensional little cubes operad C_d is the sub-operad of C_d^∞ whose n -th component is the configuration space of n little cubes with disjoint interiors. In other words, $C_d(n)$ is the subspace of $C_d^\infty(n)$ formed by configurations $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle$ satisfying the relation

$$\text{Int}(\text{Im}(c_i)) \cap \text{Int}(\text{Im}(c_j)) = \emptyset, \quad \forall i \neq j. \quad (8)$$

The operadic compositions and the action of the symmetric group arise from the operad C_d^∞ . Furthermore, if $(X; *)$ is a pointed topological space, then the d -iterated loop space $\Omega^d X$ is an example of C_d -algebra.




Figure 1: The operadic composition $\circ_2 : C_2(3) \times C_2(2) \rightarrow C_2(4)$.

Example 1.7. The Swiss-Cheese operad $\mathcal{SC}_d$

The d -dimensional Swiss-Cheese operad $\mathcal{SC}_d$, considered in this paper, is the alternative version introduced by Kontsevich in [21]. Its restriction to the colour c coincides with the little cubes operad C_d :

$$\mathcal{SC}_d(\underbrace{c, \dots, c}_n; c) = \begin{cases} C_d(n) & \text{if } n \geq 1, \\ * & \text{if } n = 0. \end{cases}$$

The space $\mathcal{SC}_d(s_1, \dots, s_n; o)$ is a subspace of $C_d^\infty(n)$ formed by configurations of n little cubes $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle$ satisfying the relation (8) and the following condition:

$$s_i = o \Rightarrow c_i(F_1) \subset F_1 \quad \text{with} \quad F_1 := \{(t_1, \dots, t_d) \in [0, 1]^d \mid t_1 = 1\}.$$

By convention the spaces $\mathcal{SC}_d(\cdot; o)$ is the one point topological space. Furthermore, if there is an integer i so that $s_i = o$, then $\mathcal{SC}_d(s_1, \dots, s_n; c) = \emptyset$. The operadic compositions and the right action of the symmetric group arise from the operad C_d^∞ .




Figure 2: The operadic composition $\circ_2 : \mathcal{SC}_2(c, o, c; o) \times \mathcal{SC}_2(o, o, c; o) \rightarrow \mathcal{SC}_2(c, o, o, c; o)$.

If $f : (Y; *) \rightarrow (X; *)$ is a continuous map between pointed spaces, then the pair $(\Omega^d X; \Omega^d(X; Y))$ is an example of $\mathcal{SC}_d$ -algebra. Contrary to the little cubes operad, there is no recognition principle for the Swiss-Cheese operad. The conjecture saying that the algebras over $\mathcal{SC}_d$ are weakly equivalent to pairs on the form $(\Omega^d X; \Omega^d(X; Y))$ is only proved in the case $d = 1$ by Hoefel, Livernet and Stasheff in [18]. By $\Omega^d(X; Y)$ we mean the d -iterated relative loop space defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega^d(X; A) &:= \text{hofib}(\Omega^{d-1} f : \Omega^{d-1} A \rightarrow \Omega^{d-1} X), \\ &:= \Omega^{d-1}(\text{hofib}(f : A \rightarrow X)). \end{aligned}$$

1.2 Bimodules over coloured operads

Definition 1.8. Let P and Q be two S -operads. A $(P-Q)$ bimodule is an S -sequence M together with operations

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_r : M(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times Q(s_1^1, \dots, s_{m_1}^1; s_1) \times \dots \times Q(s_1^n, \dots, s_{m_n}^n; s_n) &\longrightarrow M(s_1^1, \dots, s_{m_n}^n; s_{n+1}), \\ \gamma_l : P(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times M(s_1^1, \dots, s_{m_1}^1; s_1) \times \dots \times M(s_1^n, \dots, s_{m_n}^n; s_n) &\longrightarrow M(s_1^1, \dots, s_{m_n}^n; s_{n+1}), \\ \gamma_s : P(; s) &\longrightarrow M(; s), \quad \text{for any } s \in S,\end{aligned}\tag{9}$$

satisfying compatibility with the right action of the symmetric group, associativity and unity axioms. A map between $(P-Q)$ bimodules should respect the operations. We denote by $Bimod_{P-Q}$ the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules. Given an integer $k \geq 0$, we also consider the category of k -truncated bimodules $T_k Bimod_{P-Q}$. An object is a k -truncated S -sequence endowed with a bimodule structure (9) for $m_1 + \dots + m_n \leq k$ (and $n \leq k$ for γ_r). To simplify the notation, the categories $Bimod_{P-P}$ and $T_k Bimod_{P-P}$ are denoted by $Bimod_P$ and $T_k Bimod_P$ respectively. One has an obvious functor

$$T_k(-) : Bimod_{P-Q} \longrightarrow T_k Bimod_{P-Q}.$$

Notation 1.9. Thanks to the distinguished points in $Q(s; s)$, the right operations γ_r can equivalently be defined as a family of continuous maps

$$\circ^i : M(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times Q(s_1', \dots, s_{m_i}' ; s_i) \longrightarrow M(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s_1', \dots, s_{m_i}', s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}), \quad \text{with } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Furthermore, we use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned}x \circ^i y &= \circ^i(x; y) && \text{for } x \in M(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \text{ and } y \in Q(s_1', \dots, s_{m_i}' ; s_i), \\ x(y_1, \dots, y_n) &= \gamma_l(x; y_1; \dots; y_n) && \text{for } x \in P(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \text{ and } y_i \in M(s_1^i, \dots, s_{m_i}^i; s_i).\end{aligned}$$

Example 1.10. Let $\eta : O_1 \rightarrow O_2$ be a map of S -operads. In that case, the map η is also a bimodule map over O_1 and the bimodule structure on O_2 is defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_r : O_2(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times O_1(s_1^1, \dots, s_{m_1}^1; s_1) \times \dots \times O_1(s_1^n, \dots, s_{m_n}^n; s_n) &\longrightarrow O_2(s_1^1, \dots, s_{m_n}^n; s_{n+1}); \\ (x; y_1, \dots, y_n) &\longmapsto (\dots (x \circ_n \eta(y_n)) \dots) \circ_1 \eta(y_1), \\ \gamma_l : O_1(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times O_2(s_1^1, \dots, s_{m_1}^1; s_1) \times \dots \times O_2(s_1^n, \dots, s_{m_n}^n; s_n) &\longrightarrow O_2(s_1^1, \dots, s_{m_n}^n; s_{n+1}); \\ (x; y_1, \dots, y_n) &\longmapsto (\dots (\eta(x) \circ_n y_n) \dots) \circ_1 y_1.\end{aligned}$$

Example 1.11. The non- (l) -overlapping little cubes bimodule $C_d^{(l)}$

The d -dimensional non- (l) -overlapping little cubes bimodule $C_d^{(l)}$ has been introduced by Dobrinskaya and Turchin in [7]. The space $C_d^{(l)}(n)$ is the subspace of $C_d^\infty(n)$ formed by configurations of n little cubes $\langle c_1, \dots, c_n \rangle$ satisfying the following relation:

$$\forall i_1 < \dots < i_l \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \quad \bigcap_{1 \leq j \leq l} \text{Int}(\text{Im}(c_{i_j})) = \emptyset.\tag{10}$$

In particular, $C_d^{(2)}$ coincides with the little cubes operad C_d . The right action of the symmetric group and the bimodule structure over the little cubes operad C_d arise from the operadic structure of C_d^∞ .




Figure 3: The right module structure $\circ^2 : C_2^{(3)}(4) \times C_2(2) \rightarrow C_2^{(3)}(5)$.

1.3 Infinitesimal bimodules over a coloured operad

Definition 1.12. Let O be an S -operad. An *infinitesimal bimodule* over the operad O , or O -Ibimodule, is an S -sequence N endowed with operations

$$\begin{aligned} \circ_i : O(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times N(s'_1, \dots, s'_m; s_i) &\rightarrow N(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s'_1, \dots, s'_m, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \circ^i : N(s_1, \dots, s_m; s_{m+1}) \times O(s'_1, \dots, s'_n; s_i) &\rightarrow N(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s'_1, \dots, s'_n, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_m; s_{m+1}), \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ \gamma_s : O(; s) &\rightarrow N(; s), \quad \text{for } s \in S, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

satisfying compatibility with the right action of the symmetric group, associativity, commutativity and unit relations. A map between O -Ibimodules should respect the operations. We denote by $Ibimod_O$ the category of infinitesimal bimodules over O . By convention, $\circ_i$ and $\circ^i$ are called the left and the right infinitesimal operations respectively. Given an integer $k \geq 0$, we also consider the category of k -truncated infinitesimal bimodules $T_k Ibimod_O$. An object is a k -truncated S -sequence endowed with an infinitesimal bimodule structure (11) for $n + m - 1 \leq k$ and $m \leq k$. One has an obvious functor

$$T_k(-) : Ibimod_O \rightarrow T_k Ibimod_O.$$

Notation 1.13. We will use the following notation:

$$\begin{aligned} x \circ_i y &= \circ_i(x; y) \quad \text{for } x \in O(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \text{ and } y \in N(s'_1, \dots, s'_m; s_i), \\ x \circ^i y &= \circ^i(x; y) \quad \text{for } x \in N(s_1, \dots, s_m; s_{m+1}) \text{ and } y \in O(s'_1, \dots, s'_n; s_i). \end{aligned}$$

Example 1.14. Let O be an S -operad and $\eta : O \rightarrow M$ be a map of O -bimodules. In that case, the map η is also an infinitesimal bimodule map over O . The right operations and the right infinitesimal operations are the same. So, the left infinitesimal operations on M are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \circ_i : O(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \times M(s'_1, \dots, s'_m; s_i) &\rightarrow M(s_1, \dots, s_{i-1}, s'_1, \dots, s'_m, s_{i+1}, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}); \\ (x; y) &\mapsto \gamma_i(x; \eta(*_{s_1}), \dots, \eta(*_{s_{i-1}}), y, \eta(*_{s_{i+1}}), \dots, \eta(*_{s_n})). \end{aligned}$$

2 The Boardman-Vogt resolution for $(P-Q)$ bimodules

In [8], we introduce a model category structure for bimodules over a non-symmetric S -operad O satisfying the condition $O(; s) = \emptyset$ for any $s \in S$. For this purpose, we give a presentation of the left adjoint to the forgetful functor from bimodules to the category of non-symmetric S -sequences. In the present work, we extend this result to the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules and the category of k -truncated bimodules. Contrary to [8], we have to take into account the right action of the symmetric group as well as the continuous maps γ_s in arity 0. That's why, we consider the following categories:

Definition 2.1. Let P be an S -operad and $k \geq 0$ be an integer. We denote by $Seq(S)_{P_0}$ and $T_k Seq(S)_{P_0}$ the categories of S -sequences and k -truncated S -sequences M endowed with continuous maps $\gamma_s : P(; s) \rightarrow M(; s)$. In other words, if P_0 is the S -sequence given by $P_0(; s) = P(; s)$, for $s \in S$, and the empty set otherwise, then

$$Seq(S)_{P_0} := P_0 \downarrow Seq(S) \quad \text{and} \quad T_k Seq(S)_{P_0} := T_k(P_0) \downarrow T_k Seq(S).$$

The categories $Seq(S)$ and $T_k Seq(S)$ have a model category structure in which a map is a fibration (resp. a weak equivalence) if each of its components is a Serre fibration (resp. a weak homotopy equivalence). This model category structure is cofibrantly generated and every object is fibrant (see [2] or [8, section 3]). As a consequence, $Seq(S)_{P_0}$ and $T_k Seq(S)_{P_0}$ inherit a model category structure with the same properties. By using the following adjunctions:

$$\mathcal{F}_B : Seq(S)_{P_0} \rightleftarrows Bimod_{P-Q} : \mathcal{U} \quad \text{and} \quad T_k \mathcal{F}_B : T_k Seq(S)_{P_0} \rightleftarrows T_k Bimod_{P-Q} : \mathcal{U}, \quad (12)$$

we are able to define a model category structure on $Bimod_{P-Q}$ and $T_k Bimod_{P-Q}$. The following theorem is a consequence of the transfer theorem [2, section 2.5]. Its proof is similar to [8, Application 3.5].

Theorem 2.2. Let P and Q be two S -operads. The categories $Bimod_{P-Q}$ and $T_k Bimod_{P-Q}$ have a cofibrantly generated model category structure in which every object is fibrant. In particular, a map f in $Bimod_{P-Q}$ or $T_k Bimod_{P-Q}$ is a fibration (resp. weak equivalence) if and only if the map $\mathcal{U}(f)$ is a fibration (resp. weak equivalence) in $Seq(S)$ or $T_k Seq(S)$.

In this section, we give a presentation of the left adjoint functors (12). Thereafter, we adapt the Boardman-Vogt resolution in the context of (k -truncated) bimodules to obtain functorial cofibrant replacements. As did Vogt in [4] for operads (see also [2] and [26]), we use the language of trees in order to obtain such resolutions. In what follows, we fix some notation.

Definition 2.3. A *planar tree* T is a contractible finite rooted planar graph with a particular vertex and a particular edge called the *root* and the *trunk* of T and denoted by r and e_0 respectively. The trunk is only connected to the root. Furthermore, a planar tree is endowed with an orientation towards the root. Let T be a tree.

- The set of its vertices and the set of its edges are denoted by $V(T)$ and $E(T)$ respectively. The set of its internal edges $E^{int}(T)$ is formed by the edges connecting two vertices. Each edge is joined to the trunk by a unique path composed of edges.
- According to the orientation of the tree, if e is an internal edge, then its vertex $t(e)$ towards the trunk is called the *target vertex* whereas the other vertex $s(e)$ is called the *source vertex*.
- An edge with no source is called a *leaf* and the leaves are ordered from left to right. Let $in(T) := \{l_1, \dots, l_{|T|}\}$ denote the ordered set of leaves with $|T|$ the number of leaves.
- The set of incoming edges of a vertex v is ordered from left to right. This set is denoted by $in(v) := \{e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v)\}$ with $|v|$ the number of incoming edges. Moreover, the unique output edge of v is denoted by $e_0(v)$.
- The vertices with no incoming edge are called *univalent vertices* whereas the vertices with only one input are called *bivalent vertices*.

Let S be a set. A *planar S -tree* is a pair $(T; f)$ where T is a planar tree and $f : E(T) \rightarrow S$ is a map indexing the edges of T by elements in S . If there is no ambiguity about f , we will denote by $e_i(v)$ the element in S indexing the edge $e_i(v)$.




Figure 4: Example of a planar S -tree with $S = \{o; c\}$.

The *automorphism group* $Aut(T)$ can be described by induction on the number of vertices. If $|V(T)| = 1$, then $Aut(T)$ is the group $\Sigma_{|T|}$. Otherwise, up to non-planar isomorphism, T is on the form

$$T = t_n(T_1^1, \dots, T_{n_1}^1, T_1^2, \dots, T_{n_2}^2, \dots, T_1^l, \dots, T_{n_l}^l), \quad (13)$$

where t_n is a n -corolla, the uncoloured trees induced by $T_1^i, \dots, T_{n_i}^i$ are the same tree T^i and T^i is not isomorphic to T^j if $i \neq j$. Since Σ_{n_i} acts on the product $Aut(T^i)^{\times n_i}$ by permuting the factors, the automorphism group of T is a semi-direct product:

$$Aut(T) \cong \left(Aut(T^1)^{\times n_1} \times \dots \times Aut(T^l)^{\times n_l} \right) \rtimes \left(\Sigma_{n_1} \times \dots \Sigma_{n_l} \right) := \Gamma_T \rtimes \Sigma_T. \quad (14)$$

An S -tree is a triplet $(T; f; \sigma)$ where $(T; f)$ is a planar S -tree and $\sigma : \{1, \dots, |T|\} \rightarrow in(T)$ is a bijection labelling the leaves of T . Such an element will be denoted by T if there is no ambiguity about the indexation f and the bijection σ . We denote by **S -tree** the set of S -trees. The bijection σ can be interpreted as an element in the symmetric group $\Sigma_{|T|}$.

2.1 The free $(P-Q)$ bimodule functor

Definition 2.4. In the following we introduce the set of trees used to build the free bimodule functor:

- The *join* $j(v_1; v_2)$ of two vertices v_1 and v_2 is the first common vertex shared by the two paths joining v_1 and v_2 to the trunk. If $j(v_1; v_2) = r$, then v_1 and v_2 are said to be *connected to the root* and if $j(v_1; v_2) \in \{v_1; v_2\}$, then they are said to be *connected*. In Figure 5 the vertices v_1 and p_1 are connected whereas the vertices v_1 and p_3 are connected to the root.
- Let $d : V(T) \times V(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ be the distance defined as follows. The integer $d(v_1; v_2)$ is the number of edges connecting v_1 to v_2 if they are connected, otherwise $d(v_1; v_2) = d(v_1; v_3) + d(v_3; v_2)$ with $v_3 = j(v_1; v_2)$. In Figure 5, $d(v_1; r) = 2$, $d(v_1; v_2) = 4$ and $d(v_1; p_1) = 1$.
- A *reduced S-tree with section* is a pair $(T, V^p(T))$ with T in **S-tree** and $V^p(T)$ a subset of $V(T)$, called the set of pearls, such that each path connecting a leaf or an univalent vertex to the trunk passes by a unique pearl. Furthermore, there is the following condition on the set of pearls:

$$\forall v \in V(T) \setminus V^p(T), \forall p \in V^p(T), j(v; p) \in \{v; p\} \Rightarrow d(v; p) = 1. \quad (15)$$

The set of reduced S-trees with section is denoted by **S-rtree**. By definition, the set of pearls forms a section cutting the tree into two parts. We denote by $V^u(T)$ the set of vertices above the section and by $V^d(T)$ the one below the section. Given an integer $k \geq 0$, **S-rtree** $[k]$ is the set of reduced S-trees with section having at most k leaves and such that each pearl has at most k incoming edges. For instance, the reduced S-tree with section below is an element in the set **S-rtree** $[8]$.




Figure 5: A reduced S-tree with section with $S = \{p; c\}$.

Construction 2.5. From an S-sequence $M \in \text{Seq}(S)_{P_0}$, we build the $(P-Q)$ bimodule $\mathcal{F}_B(M)$. The points are equivalence classes of pairs $[T; \{a_v\}]$ with $T \in \text{S-rtree}$ and $\{a_v\}$ a family of points labelling the vertices of T . The pearls are labelled by points in M . The vertices in $V^u(T)$ are labelled by points in Q whereas the vertices in $V^d(T)$ are labelled by points in the operad P . More precisely, $\mathcal{F}_B(M)$ is given by the coproduct

$$\coprod_{T \in \text{S-rtree}} \coprod_{v \in V^d(T)} P(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times \coprod_{v \in V^p(T)} M(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times \coprod_{v \in V^u(T)} Q(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \Big/ \sim$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalent relation generated by the following axioms:

- i) If a vertex is indexed by a distinguished point $*_s$ in P or Q , then

$$\begin{array}{c} | \\ s \\ \bullet \\ *_s \\ | \\ s \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} | \\ s \end{array}$$

- ii) If a vertex v is indexed by $a \cdot \sigma$, with $\sigma \in \Sigma_{|v|}$, then

$$\begin{array}{c} l_1^1 \quad \dots \quad l_{m_1}^1 \quad \dots \quad l_1^n \quad \dots \quad l_{m_n}^n \\ \swarrow \quad \quad \quad \searrow \\ \boxed{T_1} \quad \dots \quad \boxed{T_n} \\ \swarrow \quad \quad \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \\ a \cdot \sigma \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} l_1^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \quad \dots \quad l_{m_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}}^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \quad \dots \quad l_1^{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \quad \dots \quad l_{m_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}^{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \\ \swarrow \quad \quad \quad \searrow \\ \boxed{T_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \quad \dots \quad \boxed{T_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}} \\ \swarrow \quad \quad \quad \searrow \\ \bullet \\ a \end{array}$$

- iii) If a univalent pearl is indexed by a point on the form $\gamma_s(x)$, with $x \in P(; s)$, then we contract its output edge by using the operadic structure of P . In particular, if all the pearls are on the form $\gamma_{s_p}(x_{e_i(r)})$, then the point is identified with $\gamma_s(a_r(x_{e_1(r)}, \dots, x_{e_{|r|}(r)}))$ where $a_r \in P(e_1(r), \dots, e_{|r|}(r); s)$ labels the root.




Figure 6: Examples of the relation (iii) for $S = \{o; c\}$.

A point $a \in Q(s_1, \dots, s_n; s'_i)$ is interpreted as an n -corolla (without pearl) whose incoming edges are indexed by $s_1, \dots, s_n$ respectively, the output by s'_i and the vertex is labelled by the point a . If $[T; \{a_v\}]$ is a point in $\mathcal{F}_B(M)(s'_1, \dots, s'_m; s_{m+1})$, then the composition $[T; \{a_v\}] \circ^i a$ consists in grafting the corolla labelled by a to the i -th incoming edge of T . Then, we contract the inner edge so obtained if its target is not a pearl by using the operadic structure of Q .




Figure 7: The right module structure over Q .

Let $b \in P(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1})$ and $[T_i; \{a_v^i\}]$ be a family of points in $\mathcal{F}_B(M)(s'_1, \dots, s'_{m_i}; s_i)$. The left module operations over P is defined as follows: each tree of the family is grafted to a leaf of the n -corolla from left to right. The inner edges obtained are contracted if their source are not pearl by using the operadic structure of P . Moreover, there is a morphism in the category $\text{Seq}(S)_{P_0}$,

$$i : M \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}_B(M),$$

sending $m \in M(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1})$ to the point $[T; \{m\}]$ where T is the pearl n -corolla labelled by m whose leaves are indexed by $s_1, \dots, s_n$ respectively and the trunk by s_{n+1} .

Remark 2.6. Given an integer $k \geq 0$, the functor $T_k \mathcal{F}_B$ from $T_k \text{Seq}(S)$ to the category of (k) -truncated bimodules, is defined in the same way as the functor $\mathcal{F}_B$. We only have to consider the set $S\text{-rstrree}[k]$ instead of the set of reduced trees with section in Construction 2.5.

Proposition 2.7. The functors $\mathcal{F}_B$ and $T_k \mathcal{F}_B$ are left adjoints to the forgetful functor $\mathcal{U}$:

$$\mathcal{F}_B : \text{Seq}(S)_{P_0} \rightleftarrows \text{Bimod}_{P-Q} : \mathcal{U} \quad \text{and} \quad T_k \mathcal{F}_B : T_k \text{Seq}(S)_{P_0} \rightleftarrows T_k \text{Bimod}_{P-Q} : \mathcal{U}. \quad (16)$$

Proof. Let M' be a $(P-Q)$ bimodule and $f : M \rightarrow M'$ be a morphism in the category $\text{Seq}(S)_{P_0}$. We have to prove that there exists a unique map of $(P-Q)$ bimodules $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{F}_B(M) \rightarrow M'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M & \xrightarrow{f} & M' \\ \downarrow i & \searrow \exists! \tilde{f} & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{F}_B(M) & & \end{array} \quad (17)$$

We define the map $\tilde{f}$ by induction on the cardinal of the set $nb(T) = V(T) \setminus V^p(T)$. Let $[T; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{F}_B(M)$ such that $|nb(T)| = 0$. By construction, T is necessarily a pearl corolla with only one vertex labelled by $a_r \in M$. In order to have the commutative diagram (17), the following equality has to be satisfied:

$$\tilde{f}([T; \{a_v\}]) = f(a_r).$$

Let $[T; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{F}_B(M)$ where T has only one vertex v which is not a pearl. There are two cases to consider. If v is the root of the tree T , then the root is labelled by a point $a_v \in P$ and $[T; \{a_v\}]$ has a decomposition on the form $a_v([T_1; \{a_1\}], \dots, [T_{|v|}; \{a_{|v|}\}])$ where T_i is a pearl corolla labelled by $a_i \in M$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is a $(P-Q)$ bimodule map, there is the equality

$$\tilde{f}([T; \{a_v\}]) = a_v(f(a_1), \dots, f(a_{|v|})).$$

If the root is a pearl, then there exists a unique inner edge e such that $s(e) = v$ and $t(e) = r$. So, the point $[T; \{a_v\}]$ has a decomposition on the form $[T_1; \{a_{t(e)}\}] \circ^i a_{s(e)}$ with $a_{s(e)} \in Q$ and $a_{t(e)} \in M$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is a $(P-Q)$ bimodule map, there is the equality

$$\tilde{f}([T; \{a_v\}]) = f(a_{t(e)}) \circ^i a_{s(e)}.$$

Assume $\tilde{f}$ has been defined for $|nb(T)| \leq n$. Let $[T; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{F}_B(M)$ such that $|nb(T)| = n + 1$. By definition, there is an inner edge e whose target vertex is a pearl. So, the point $[T; \{a_v\}]$ has a decomposition on the form $[T_1; \{a_v\} \setminus \{a_{s(e)}\}] \circ^i a_{s(e)}$ where T_1 is an S -tree with section such that $|nb(T_1)| = n$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is a $(P-Q)$ bimodule map, there is the equality

$$\tilde{f}([T; \{a_v\}]) = \tilde{f}([T_1; \{a_v\} \setminus \{a_{s(e)}\}]) \circ^i a_{s(e)}.$$

Due to the $(P-Q)$ bimodule axioms, $\tilde{f}$ does not depend on the choice of the decomposition and $\tilde{f}$ is a $(P-Q)$ bimodule map. The uniqueness follows from the construction. Similarly, we can prove that the functor $T_k \mathcal{F}_B$ is the left adjoint to the forgetful functor. $\square$

2.2 The Boardman-Vogt resolution for bimodules

By convention, if C is a model category enriched over Top , then the derived mapping space $C^h(A; B)$ is the space $C(A^c; B^f)$ with A^c a cofibrant replacement of A and B^f a fibrant replacement of B . Since every object is fibrant in $Bimod_{P-Q}$, it is sufficient to determine cofibrant replacements in order to compute derived mapping spaces. In this section, we give a functorial way to obtain such cofibrant replacements.

Definition 2.8. Let S -**stree** be the set of pairs $(T; V^p(T))$ where T is an S -tree and $V^p(T)$ is a subset of $V(T)$, called the *set of pearls*. Similarly to Definition 2.4, each path connecting a leaf or univalent vertex to the trunk passes by a unique pearl. However a tree in S -**stree** doesn't necessarily satisfy Condition (15). The set of pearls forms a section cutting the tree T into two parts. We denote by $V^u(T)$ and $V^d(T)$ the set of vertices above and below the section respectively. Elements in S -**stree** are called *S -trees with section*. Analogously to Definition 2.3, one can talk about non-planar isomorphism for S -trees with section and more particularly about the automorphism group $Aut(T; V^p(T))$ associated to an element $(T; V^p(T))$.




Figure 8: An S -tree with section with $S = \{o; c\}$.

Construction 2.9. Let P and Q be two S -operads. From a $(P-Q)$ bimodule M , we build the $(P-Q)$ bimodule $\mathcal{B}(M)$. The points are equivalence classes $[T; \{t_v\}; \{a_v\}]$ with $T \in S$ -**stree** and $\{a_v\}_{v \in V(T)}$ is a family of points labelling the vertices of T . The pearls are labelled by points in M whereas the vertices in $V^u(T)$ (resp. the vertices in $V^d(T)$) are labelled by points in the operad Q (resp. the operad P). Furthermore, $\{t_v\}_{v \in V(T) \setminus V^p(T)}$ is a family of real numbers in the interval $I = [0, 1]$ indexing the vertices which are not pearls. If e is an inner edge above the section, then $t_{s(e)} \geq t_{t(e)}$. Similarly, if e is an inner edge below the section, then $t_{s(e)} \leq t_{t(e)}$. In other words, $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is given by the quotient of the sub- S -sequence

$$\prod_{\mathbf{S}\text{-stree}} \prod_{v \in V^d(T)} [P(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times I] \times \prod_{v \in V^p(T)} M(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times \prod_{v \in V^u(T)} [Q(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times I] \Bigg| \sim$$

coming from the restrictions on the families $\{t_v\}$. The equivalence relation is generated by the following:

- i) If a vertex is labelled by a distinguished point $*_s$ in P or Q , then

$$\begin{array}{c} | \\ s \\ \bullet (*_s; t) \\ | \\ s \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} | \\ s \end{array}$$

- ii) If a vertex v is labelled by $a \cdot \sigma$, with $\sigma \in \Sigma_{|v|}$, then

$$\begin{array}{c} l_1^1 \dots l_{m_1}^1 \quad l_1^n \dots l_{m_n}^n \\ \boxed{T_1} \dots \boxed{T_n} \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet (a \cdot \sigma; t) \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} l_1^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \dots l_{m_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}}^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \quad l_1^{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \dots l_{m_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}^{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \\ \boxed{T_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \dots \boxed{T_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}} \\ \swarrow \quad \searrow \\ \bullet (a; t) \end{array}$$

- iii) If an univalent pearl is indexed by a point on the form $\gamma_s(x)$, with $x \in P(\cdot; s)$, then we contract its output edge by using the operadic structure of P . In particular, if all the pearls connected to a vertex v are univalent and on the form $\gamma_{s_v}(x_v)$, then the vertex is identified to the pearl corolla with no input.

$$\begin{array}{c} \gamma_o(x) \quad \gamma_c(x) \\ \bullet (a_1; t) \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} \gamma_o(x_1) \quad \gamma_c(x_2) \\ \bullet (a_1 \circ_2 x; t) \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} \gamma_o(x_1) \quad \gamma_c(x_2) \\ \bullet (a_1; t) \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} \gamma_c(a_1(x_1; x_2)) \\ \bullet \end{array}$$

Figure 9: Example of the relation (iii) for $S = \{o; c\}$.

- iv) If two consecutive vertices, connected by an edge e , are indexed by the same real number $t \in [0, 1]$, then e is contracted by using the operadic structures. The vertex so obtained is indexed by the real number t .

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \\ \bullet (a_1; t) \\ \bullet (a_2; t) \\ \bullet m \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \\ \bullet (a_2 \circ_2 a_1; t) \\ \bullet m \end{array} \quad (a) \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \\ m_1 \quad m_2 \quad m_3 \\ \bullet (a_1; t) \\ \bullet (a_2; t) \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \\ m_1 \quad m_2 \quad m_3 \\ \bullet (a_2 \circ_1 a_1; t) \end{array} \quad (b)$$

Figure 10: Examples of the relation (iii).

- v) If a vertex above the section is indexed by 0, then its output edge is contracted by using the right module structures. Similarly, if a vertex below the section is indexed by 0 then all its incoming edges are contracted by using the left module structure.

$$\begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \\ \bullet (a_1; t) \\ \bullet (a_2; 0) \\ \bullet m \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \\ \bullet (a_1; t) \\ \bullet m \circ^1 a_2 \end{array} \quad (a) \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \\ m_1 \quad m_2 \\ \bullet (a_1; t) \\ \bullet (a_2; 0) \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 4 \quad 3 \quad 2 \\ m_1 \quad m_2 \\ \bullet (a_1; t) \\ \bullet a_2(m_1, m_2) \end{array} \quad (b)$$

Figure 11: Examples of the relation (iv).

Let us describe the $(P-Q)$ bimodule structure. Let $a \in Q(s_1, \dots, s_n; s'_i)$ and $[T; \{t_v\}; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{B}(M)(s'_1, \dots, s'_m; s_{m+1})$. The composition $[T; \{t_v\}; \{a_v\}] \circ^i a$ consists in grafting the n -corolla labelled by a to the i -th incoming edge of T and indexing the new vertex by 1. Similarly, let $b \in P(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1})$ and $[T^i; \{t_v^i\}; \{a_v^i\}]$ be a family of points in the spaces $\mathcal{B}(M)(s'_1, \dots, s'_{n_i}; s_i)$. The left module structure over P is defined as follows: each tree of the family is grafted to a leaf of the n -corolla labelled by b from left to right. The new vertex, arising from the n -corolla, is indexed by 1.




Figure 12: Illustration of the left module structure.

Let us recall that the free $(P-Q)$ bimodule $\mathcal{F}_B(M)$ is the space of equivalence classes $[T; \{a_v\}]$ with $T \in S\text{-}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{stree}$. Since $S\text{-}\mathbf{r}\mathbf{stree}$ is a subset of $S\text{-}\mathbf{stree}$, there is a map:

$$\tau : \mathcal{F}_B(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(M) ; [T; \{a_v\}] \mapsto [T; \{1_v\}; \{a_v\}], \quad (18)$$

indexing the vertices in $V^u(T)$ and $V^d(T)$ by 1. Due to axioms (iii) and (iv) of Construction 2.9, τ is a $(P-Q)$ bimodule map. Furthermore, the following map:

$$\mu : \mathcal{B}(M) \rightarrow M ; [T; \{t_v\}; \{a_v\}] \mapsto [T; \{0_v\}; \{a_v\}], \quad (19)$$

is defined by sending the real numbers indexing the vertices to 0. The element so obtained is a pearl corolla labelled by a point in M . Due to axioms (iv) and (v) of Construction 2.9, μ is a $(P-Q)$ bimodule map.

2.3 Cofibrant replacements for k -truncated bimodules

In this section, P and Q are two S -operads whereas M is a $(P-Q)$ bimodule. In order to show that the Boardman-Vogt resolution $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is a cofibrant replacement of M , we introduce a filtration according to the number of geometrical inputs (which is the number of leaves plus the number of univalent vertices above the section). A point in $\mathcal{B}(M)$ is said to be *prime* if the real numbers labelling its vertices are strictly smaller than 1. Besides, a point is said to be *composite* if one of its vertex is labelled by 1. A composite point can be decomposed into *prime components* as shows the picture below.




Figure 13: A composite point and its prime components.

A prime point is in the k -th filtration term $\mathcal{B}_k(M)$ if the number of its geometrical inputs is smaller than k . Similarly, a composite point is in the k -th filtration term if all its prime components are in the k -th filtration term. For instance, the composite point in Figure 13 is in the 6-th filtration term. For each $k \geq 0$, $\mathcal{B}_k(M)$ is a $(P-Q)$ bimodule and they define a filtration of $\mathcal{B}(M)$:

$$P_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_1(M) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{k-1}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_k(M) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(M) \quad (20)$$

Notation 2.10. A pointed S -sequence M is said to be well pointed if the maps $*_s \rightarrow M(s; s)$ are cofibrations. Furthermore, a bimodule or operadic map f is said to be Σ -cofibrant if $\mathcal{U}(f)$ is cofibrant in the category of S -sequences. We also recall that the category of spaces together with a right action of a group G , denoted by $G\text{-Top}$, is endowed with a model category structure coming from the adjunction $G[-] : \text{Top} \rightleftarrows G\text{-Top} : \mathcal{U}$ where $G[-]$ sends the space X to $G[X] = \coprod_G X$. By convention, a map in $G\text{-Top}$ is called a G -equivariant map whereas a G -cofibration is a cofibration in $G\text{-Top}$.

Theorem 2.11. Assume that the maps γ_s are cofibration, the S -sequences M, P and Q are Σ -cofibrant and the operads P and Q are well pointed. Then, the objects $\mathcal{B}(M)$ and $T_k(\mathcal{B}_k(M))$ are cofibrant replacement of M and $T_k(M)$ in the categories $\text{Bimod}_{P,Q}$ and $T_k\text{Bimod}_{P,Q}$ respectively.

In the first version of the paper, we show that the maps (18) and (19) are respectively a cofibration and a weak equivalence in the category of (P,Q) bimodules, under the assumptions of the theorem. Nevertheless, we give an alternative proof since we need to show that the filtration (20) is composed of cofibrations in the category of (P,Q) bimodules. In what follows, the method used is based on the paper of Turchin [25, Section 11] as well as the paper of Berger and Moerdijk [3]. In particular, the following two statements are special cases of [3, Lemma 2.5.3] and [3, Lemma 2.5.2] respectively.

Lemma 2.12. Let $1 \rightarrow G_1 \rightarrow G_1 \rtimes G_2 \rightarrow G_2 \rightarrow 1$ be a short exact sequence of groups. Let $A \rightarrow B$ be a G_2 -cofibration and $X \rightarrow Y$ be a $G_1 \rtimes G_2$ -equivariant G_1 -cofibration. The pushout product map $(A \times Y) \cup_{A \times X} (B \times X) \rightarrow B \times Y$ is a $G_1 \rtimes G_2$ -cofibration.

Lemma 2.13. Let G be a group. Let $A \rightarrow B$ and $X \rightarrow Y$ be two maps in $G\text{-Top}$ which are cofibrations as continuous map. If one of them is cofibrant in $G\text{-Top}$ then the pushout product map $(A \times Y) \cup_{A \times X} (B \times X) \rightarrow B \times Y$ is a cofibration in $G\text{-Top}$. Moreover the latter is acyclic if $A \rightarrow B$ or $X \rightarrow Y$ is.

Proof. First, we show that the map $\mu : \mathcal{B}(M) \rightarrow M$, which sends the real numbers indexing the vertices to 0, is a weak equivalence in the category of (P,Q) bimodules. Indeed, the map μ is a homotopy equivalence in the category of S -sequences where the homotopy consists in bringing the parameters to 0. Unfortunately, we can't do the same for the map $\mu_k : T_k(\mathcal{B}_k(M)) \rightarrow T_k(M)$ since the previous homotopy doesn't necessarily preserve the number of geometrical inputs. For instance, the composite point $[T; \{t_v\}; \{a_v\}]$ in Figure 13 is in the 6-th filtration term whereas the point $[T; \{tt_v\}; \{a_v\}]$, with $t \in]0, 1[$, is in the 9-th filtration term. To solve this problem, we start by contracting the output edge of univalent vertices. For this, we use the homotopy

$$\begin{aligned} C_k : T_k(\mathcal{B}_k(M)) \times [0, 1] &\longrightarrow T_k(\mathcal{B}_k(M)); \\ [T; \{t_v\}; \{a_v\}]; t &\longmapsto [T; \{tt_{D(v)} + (1-t)t_v\}; \{a_v\}], \end{aligned}$$

where $D(v)$ is the first vertex in the path joining v to its pearl such that $D(v)$ is connected to a leaf. By convention, if such a vertex doesn't exist, then $D(v)$ is the pearl and $t_{D(v)}$ is fixed to 0. So, the k -truncated S -sequence $T_k(\mathcal{B}_k(M))$ is weakly equivalent to the sub-object formed by points without univalent vertices above the section. Then, we use the homotopy bringing the parameters to 0 in order to conclude that η_k is a weak equivalence.

In order to show that the map from $\mathcal{B}_{k-1}(M)$ to $\mathcal{B}_k(M)$ is a cofibration, we introduce another filtration according to the number of vertices. A prime point is said to be in $\mathcal{B}_k(M)[l]$ if it has $k-1$ geometrical inputs or if it has k geometrical inputs and at most l vertices. A composite point is said to be in $\mathcal{B}_k(M)[l]$ if all its prime components are in $\mathcal{B}_k(M)[l]$. Thus, we get a family of (P,Q) bimodule maps

$$\mathcal{B}_{k-1}(M) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_k(M)[1] \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_k(M)[l-1] \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_k(M)[l] \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_k(M).$$

In particular, the map $P_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M)[1]$ is a cofibration. Indeed, let M_0 be the S -sequence given by $M_0(s; s) = M(s; s)$, for $s \in S$, and the empty set otherwise. Due to the axiom (iii) of Construction 2.9, P_0 and $\mathcal{B}_0(M)[1]$ are the free (P,Q) bimodules $\mathcal{F}_B(P_0)$ and $\mathcal{F}_B(M_0)$ respectively. Consequently, the map from P_0 to $\mathcal{B}_0(M)[1]$ coincides with $\mathcal{F}_B(\{\gamma_s\}) : \mathcal{F}_B(P_0) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_B(M_0)$ which is a cofibration in the category of (P,Q) bimodules since the maps γ_s are cofibrations in the category of topological spaces.

In the general case, in order to prove that the map from $\mathcal{B}_k(M)[l-1]$ to $\mathcal{B}_k(M)[l]$ is a cofibration in the category of (P,Q) bimodules, we consider the set of S -trees with section having k geometrical inputs and l vertices, denoted by $S\text{-tree}[k; l]$. Let $X_k[l]$ be the quotient of the sub- S -sequence

$$\coprod_{S\text{-tree}[k; l]} \prod_{v \in V^d(T)} [P(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times I] \times \prod_{v \in V^p(T)} M(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times \prod_{v \in V^u(T)} [Q(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times I] \Big/ \sim$$

obtained by taking the restriction on the set of real numbers indexing the vertices. The equivalent relation is generated by the axiom (ii) of Construction 2.9. Similarly, $\partial X_k[l]$ is the S -sequence formed by points in $X_k[l]$ satisfying one of the following conditions called *the boundary relations*:

1. there is a vertex indexed by 0 or 1,
2. there are two consecutive vertices indexed by the same real number,
3. there is a bivalent vertex labelled by a distinguished points in P or Q ,
4. there is a univalent pearl labelled by a point on the form $\gamma_s(x)$, with $x \in P(; s)$.

The S -sequences $X_k[l]$ and $\partial X_k[l]$ are not objects in the category $\text{Seq}(S)_{P_0}$. To solve this problem, we consider the following S -sequences which are obviously endowed with maps from P_0 :

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{X}_k[l](s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) &:= \begin{cases} X_k[l](; s_{n+1}) \sqcup P(; s_{n+1}) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ X_k[l](s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \partial \tilde{X}_k[l](s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) &:= \begin{cases} \partial X_k[l](; s_{n+1}) \sqcup P(; s_{n+1}) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \partial X_k[l](s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, there is the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}\mathcal{F}_B(\partial \tilde{X}_k[l]) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_B(\tilde{X}_k[l]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{B}_k(M)[l-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_k(M)[l]\end{array} \quad (21)$$

where the left vertical map consists in: contracting the output edge (resp. incoming edges) of vertices above the section (resp. below the section) indexed by 0; contracting the inner edges connecting vertices indexed by the same real number; forgetting the bivalent vertices labelled by distinguished points; contracting the output edge of univalent pearl labelled by $\gamma_s(x)$ with $x \in P_0$. As a consequence, $\mathcal{B}_{k-1}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k(M)$ is a cofibration in the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules if the inclusion from $\partial X_k[l]$ to $X_k[l]$ is a Σ -cofibration.

Let $S\text{-tree}^p[\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{l}]$ be the set of planar S -trees with section having k geometrical inputs and l vertices (by planar we mean without the bijection labelling the leaves). If $T \in S\text{-tree}^p[\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{l}]$, then $H(T)$ is the space of parametrizations of the set $V(T) \setminus V^p(T)$ by real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ satisfying the restriction of Construction 2.9. So, $H(T)$ is a sub-polytope of $[0, 1]^m$, with $m = |V(T) \setminus V^p(T)|$, arising from a gluing of simplices. For instance, if T has only bivalent vertices, then $H(T) = \Delta^{|V^u(T)|} \times \Delta^{|V^d(T)|}$. We denote by $H^-(T)$ the sub-polytope of $H(T)$ formed by points satisfying the axioms (1) or (2) of the boundary relations. In other words, $H^-(T)$ is formed by faces of the polytope $H(T)$. Consequently, the inclusion (22) is a cofibration in the category of spaces and preserves the action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))$:

$$H^-(T) \longrightarrow H(T). \quad (22)$$

Similarly, let $\underline{M}(T)$ be the space of indexations of $V(T)$ by points in P, Q and M satisfying the relation of Construction 2.9. Since the objects P, Q and M are Σ -cofibrant, the space $\underline{M}(T)$ is $\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))$ -cofibrant. To show that, we adapt the proof introduced by Berger and Moerdijk in [3] for operads. We prove the result by induction on the set of vertices. Assume that T is on the form (13), then there are two cases to consider. If the root is a pearl, then the trees T^i are elements in the set $S\text{-tree}$ and $\underline{M}(T^i)$ is the space of indexations of $V(T^i)$ by points in the operad Q . In [3], the authors show that $\underline{M}(T^i)$ is $\text{Aut}(T^i)$ -cofibrant. Consequently, $\underline{M}(T^1)^{\times k_1} \times \dots \times \underline{M}(T^j)^{\times k_j}$ is Γ_T -cofibrant and is equipped with a right action of $\Gamma_T \rtimes \Sigma_T$. Since $\underline{M}(t_n) = P(e_1(r), \dots, e_{|P|}(r); e_0(r))$ is Σ_T -cofibrant, Lemma 2.12, applied to the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Gamma_T \rightarrow \Gamma_T \rtimes \Sigma_T \rightarrow \Sigma_T \rightarrow 1$, shows that $\underline{M}(T)$ is $\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))$ -cofibrant.

In the second case, the root is not a pearl and the trees T^i are S -trees with section. By induction, $\underline{M}(T^i)$ is $\text{Aut}(T^i; V^p(T^i))$ -cofibrant. Consequently, $\underline{M}(T^1)^{\times k_1} \times \dots \times \underline{M}(T^j)^{\times k_j}$ is Γ_T -cofibrant and is equipped with a right action of $\Gamma_T \rtimes \Sigma_T$. Since $\underline{M}(t_n) = M(e_1(r), \dots, e_{|P|}(r); e_0(r))$ is Σ_T -cofibrant, Lemma 2.12, applied to the short exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \Gamma_T \rightarrow \Gamma_T \rtimes \Sigma_T \rightarrow \Sigma_T \rightarrow 1$, shows that $\underline{M}(T)$ is $\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))$ -cofibrant.

The space $\underline{M}^-(T)$ is the subspace of $\underline{M}(T)$ formed by points satisfying the axioms (3) or (4) of the boundary relations. An invariant form of the pushout product lemma 2.12 together with an induction on trees shows

that the inclusion from $\underline{M}^-(T)$ to $\underline{M}(T)$ is an $\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))$ -cofibration since the operads P and Q are well pointed and the maps γ_s are cofibrations. From now on, we denote by $(H \times \underline{M})^-(T)$ the pushout product

$$(H^-(T) \times \underline{M}(T)) \bigcup_{H^-(T) \times \underline{M}^-(T)} (H(T) \times \underline{M}^-(T)).$$

Lemma 2.13 implies that the inclusion from $(H \times \underline{M})^-(T)$ to $H(T) \times \underline{M}(T)$ is an $\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))$ -cofibration. An element in $\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))$ can be associated to a permutation of the leaves of the tree T . Thus, the group $\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))$ acts on $\Sigma_{|T|}$. Consequently, the following map is a $\Sigma_{|T|}$ -cofibration:

$$(H \times \underline{M})^-(T) \times_{\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))} \Sigma_{|T|} \longrightarrow (H(T) \times \underline{M}(T)) \times_{\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))} \Sigma_{|T|}. \quad (23)$$

As a consequence, the upper horizontal map of the following pushout diagram is a Σ -cofibration:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{[T; V^p(T)]} (H \times \underline{M})^-(T) \times_{\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))} \Sigma_{|T|} & \longrightarrow & \coprod_{[T; V^p(T)]} (H(T) \times \underline{M}(T)) \times_{\text{Aut}(T; V^p(T))} \Sigma_{|T|} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \partial X_k[I] & \longrightarrow & X_k[I] \end{array}$$

where the disjoint union is along the isomorphism classes of planar S -trees with section in $S\text{-stree}^p[k; l]$. Since the pushout diagrams preserve the cofibrations, the inclusion $\partial X_k[I] \rightarrow X_k[I]$ is also a Σ -cofibration. Finally, the map $\mathcal{B}_{k-1}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_k(M)$ is a cofibration in the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules. $\square$

Remark 2.14. The Boardman-Vogt resolution induces an endofunctor in the category Bimod_{P-Q} or $T_k \text{Bimod}_{P-Q}$. Note that this construction is also functorial in the operads P and Q . Indeed, let $f_p : P_1 \rightarrow P_2$ and $f_q : Q_1 \rightarrow Q_2$ be two maps of S -operads. If f is a map from a (P_1-Q_1) bimodule M_1 to a (P_2-Q_2) bimodule M_2 such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P_1 \times M_1^1 \times \cdots \times M_1^k & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} & M_1 \\ \downarrow f_p \times f \times \cdots \times f & & \downarrow f \\ P_2 \times M_2^1 \times \cdots \times M_2^k & \xrightarrow{\gamma_1} & M_2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M_1 \times Q_1 & \xrightarrow{\circ^i} & M_1 \\ \downarrow f \times f_q & & \downarrow f \\ M_2 \times Q_2 & \xrightarrow{\circ^i} & M_2 \end{array}$$

then f induces a map of (P_1-Q_1) bimodules $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{B}(M_1) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(M_2)$ where $\mathcal{B}(M_1)$ and $\mathcal{B}(M_2)$ are the Boardman-Vogt resolutions in the categories $\text{Bimod}_{P_1-Q_1}$ and $\text{Bimod}_{P_2-Q_2}$ respectively:

$$\tilde{f}([T; \{t_v\}; \{a_v\}]) = [T; \{t_v\}; \{a'_v\}] \quad \text{with} \quad a'_v := \begin{cases} f_q(a_v) & \text{if } v \in V^u(T), \\ f(a_v) & \text{if } v \in V^p(T), \\ f_p(a_v) & \text{if } v \in V^d(T). \end{cases}$$

3 C_1 -algebras coming from a map of operads

As shown in Example 1.10, a map of operads $\eta : O \rightarrow O'$ is also a bimodule map over O . In the particular case $O = \mathcal{A}s$, Dwyer and Hess in [9] and independently Turchin in [25] identify the derived mapping space $\text{Bimod}_{\mathcal{A}s}^h(\mathcal{A}s; O')$ with an explicit loop space $\Omega \text{Operad}_{ns}^h(\mathcal{A}s; O')$. In this section, we extend this result for any symmetric operad O . More precisely, we prove the following theorem where both standard and truncated versions are considered:

Theorem 3.1. *Let $\eta : O \rightarrow O'$ be a map of operads. If O is a well pointed Σ -cofibrant operad and the spaces $O(1)$ and $O'(1)$ are contractible, then there are explicit weak equivalences:*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi : \Omega \text{Operad}^h(O; O') &\longrightarrow \text{Bimod}_O^h(O; O'), \\ \xi_k : \Omega(T_k \text{Operad}^h(T_k(O); T_k(O'))) &\longrightarrow T_k \text{Bimod}_O^h(T_k(O); T_k(O')). \end{aligned}$$

For this purpose, we recall the Boardman-Vogt resolution for a coloured operad O , denoted by $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ (the reader can find more details about this resolution in [3], [4] and [26]). Then, we introduce an alternative cofibrant replacement of the operad O in the category of O -bimodules, denoted by $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$, in which the maps ξ and ξ_k can be defined easily. Finally, we prove that these explicit maps give rise weak equivalences by using towers of fibrations as well as technical tools coming from the homotopy theory of diagrams.


3.1 The Boardman-Vogt resolution for coloured operads

Construction 3.2. From an S -operad O , we build the S -operad $\mathcal{BV}(O)$. The points are equivalent classes $[T; \{t_e\}; \{a_v\}]$ where T is an S -tree, $\{a_v\}_{v \in V(T)}$ is a family of points in O labelling the vertices of T and $\{t_e\}_{e \in E^{int}(T)}$ is a family of real numbers in the interval $I = [0, 1]$ indexing the inner edges. In other words, $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ is the quotient of the coproduct


$$\coprod_{T \in S\text{-tree}} \prod_{v \in V(T)} O(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times \prod_{e \in E^{int}(T)} [0, 1] \Big/ \sim.$$

The equivalence relation is generated by the following axioms:

i) If a vertex is labelled by a distinguished point $*_s \in O(s; s)$, then



ii) If a vertex v is labelled by $a \cdot \sigma$, with $\sigma \in \Sigma_{|v|}$, then



iii) If an inner edge is indexed by 0, then we contract it by using the operadic structure of O .




Figure 14: Illustration of the relation (iii).

Let $[T; \{t_e\}; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{BV}(O)(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1})$ and $[T'; \{t'_e\}; \{a'_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{BV}(O)(s'_1, \dots, s'_m; s_i)$. The operadic composition $[T; \{t_e\}; \{a_v\}] \circ_i [T'; \{t'_e\}; \{a'_v\}]$ consists in grafting T' to the i -th incoming edge of T and indexing the new inner edge by 1. Furthermore, there is a map of pointed S -sequences,

$$\iota : O \longrightarrow \mathcal{BV}(O); a \longmapsto [t_{|a|}; \emptyset; \{a\}], \quad (24)$$

sending a point a to the corolla labelled by a . There is also a map of operads sending the real numbers indexing the inner edges to 0,

$$\mu : \mathcal{BV}(O) \rightarrow O; [T; \{t_e\}; \{a_v\}] \mapsto [T; \{0_e\}; \{a_v\}]. \quad (25)$$

From now on, we introduce a filtration of the resolution $\mathcal{BV}(O)$. Similarly to the bimodule case (see Section 2.3), a point in $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ is said to be prime if the real numbers indexing the set of inner edges are strictly smaller than 1. Besides, a point is said to be composite if one of its inner edges is indexed by 1 and such a point can be decomposed into prime components. A prime point is in the k -th filtration term $\mathcal{BV}_k(O)$ if the number of its geometrical inputs (which is the number of leaves plus the number of univalent vertices) is smaller than k . Then, a composite point is in the k -th filtration term if its prime components are in $\mathcal{BV}_k(O)$. By convention, $\mathcal{BV}_0(O)$ is the initial object in the category of S -operads. For each $k \geq 0$, $\mathcal{BV}_k(O)$ is an S -operad and the family $\{\mathcal{BV}_k(O)\}$ gives rise a filtration of $\mathcal{BV}(O)$,

$$\mathcal{BV}_0(O) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BV}_1(O) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{BV}_{k-1}(O) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BV}_k(O) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \mathcal{BV}(O).$$

Theorem 3.3. [2, 26] Assume that O is a well pointed Σ -cofibrant S -operad. The objects $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ and $T_k(\mathcal{BV}_k(O))$ are cofibrant replacements of O and $T_k(O)$ in the categories Operad_S and $T_k\text{Operad}_S$ respectively. In particular, the map (25) is a weak equivalence.

Proof. In what follows, we recall only the proof that the inclusion from $\mathcal{BV}_{k-1}(O)$ to $\mathcal{BV}_k(O)$ is a cofibration in the category of S -operads. For this purpose, we consider another filtration according to the number of vertices. Let $S\text{-tree}[k; l]$ be the set of S -trees having exactly k geometrical inputs and l vertices. Then, the S -sequence $Y_k[l]$ is the quotient of the coproduct

$$\coprod_{T \in S\text{-tree}[k; l]} \prod_{v \in V(T)} O(e_1(v), \dots, e_{|v|}(v); e_0(v)) \times \prod_{e \in E^{\text{int}}(T)} [0, 1] \Big/ \sim$$

where the equivalent relation is generated by the axiom (ii) of Construction 3.2. The S -sequence $\partial Y_k[l]$ is formed by points in $Y_k[l]$ having a bivalent vertex labelled by the unit of the operad O or having an inner edge indexed by 0 or 1. In particular, for $k > 1$, the S -sequence $\partial Y_k[1]$ is the empty set. For $(k; l) \neq (1; 1)$, the S -sequences $Y_k[l]$ and $\partial Y_k[l]$ are not pointed. So, we denote by $\tilde{Y}_k[l]$ and $\partial \tilde{Y}_k[l]$ the pointed S -sequences obtained as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{Y}_k[l](s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) &:= \begin{cases} Y_k[l](s; s) \sqcup *_s & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ and } s_1 = s_2 = s, \\ Y_k[l](s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \\ \partial \tilde{Y}_k[l](s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) &:= \begin{cases} \partial Y_k[l](s; s) \sqcup *_s & \text{if } n = 1 \text{ and } s_1 = s_2 = s, \\ \partial Y_k[l](s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Then, we consider the following pushout diagrams where $\mathcal{F}$ is the free operad functor from pointed S -sequences to the category of S -operads:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{BV}_0(O)) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(Y_1[1]) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ \mathcal{BV}_0(O) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{BV}_1(O)[1] \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(\partial \tilde{Y}_k[l]) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\tilde{Y}_k[l]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l] \end{array}$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.11, we can show that the inclusion from $\partial Y_k[l]$ to $Y_k[l]$ is a Σ -cofibration. As a consequence, the horizontal maps of the above diagrams are cofibrations in the category of S -operads. Since $\lim_i \mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]$ is the bimodule $\mathcal{BV}_k(O)$, the inclusion from $\mathcal{BV}_{k-1}(O)$ to $\mathcal{BV}_k(O)$ is also a cofibrations in the category of S -operads. Besides, this filtration gives rise a tower of fibrations computing the loop space $\Omega \text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}(O); O')$. Let $\partial' Y_k[l]$ be the pushout product

$$\partial Y_k[l] \times [0, 1] \coprod_{\partial Y_k[l] \times [0, 1]} Y_k[l] \times \{0, 1\},$$

then, for $(k; l) \neq (1; 1)$, the vertical maps of the following pullback diagram are fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega \text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]; O') & \longrightarrow & \text{Seq}(Y_k[l] \times [0, 1]; O') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega \text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1]; O') & \longrightarrow & \text{Seq}(\partial' Y_k[l]; O') \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if $g \in \Omega \text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1]; O')$, then the fiber over g is homeomorphic to the mapping space from $Y_k[l] \times [0, 1]$ to O' such that the restriction to the sub-sequence $\partial' Y_k[l]$ coincides with the map induced by g :

$$\text{Seq}^g(Y_k[l] \times [0, 1], \partial' Y_k[l]; O'). \quad (26)$$

Finally, we give a description of the space $\Omega \text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_1(O)[1]; O')$. By using the equality $\mathcal{BV}_1(O)[1] = \mathcal{F}(Y_1[1])$ together with the adjunction between S -operads and pointed S -sequences, we deduce that a point in the loop space is given by a family of continuous maps

$$f_{0;s} : O(; s) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow O'(; s) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{s_1;s_2} : O(s_1; s_2) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow O'(s_1; s_2), \quad (27)$$

satisfying the relations

$$f_{s;s}(*_s; t) = *_s', \quad f_{s_1;s_2}(x; 0) = f_{s_1;s_2}(x; 1) = \eta(x) \quad \text{and} \quad f_{0;s}(x; 0) = f_{0;s}(x; 1) = \eta(x)$$

where $*_s$ and $*'_s$ are the distinguished points in $O(s; s)$ and $O'(s; s)$ respectively. $\square$

3.2 An alternative cofibrant replacement for bimodules coming from operads

In what follows, we assume that the operad O is uncoloured. The aim of this section is to introduce an alternative cofibrant replacement $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$ of O in the category of O -bimodules such that there is a simple description of the map from the loop space $\Omega \text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}(O); O')$ to the derived mapping space $\text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O); O')$. The construction and theorem below are based on the result of Turchin [25] in the particular case $O = \mathcal{As}$.

Construction 3.4. From an operad O , we build an O -bimodule $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$. The points are equivalent classes $[T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]$ where T is a tree, $\{t_v\}$ is a family of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ indexing the vertices and $\{x_v\}$ is a family of points in $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ labelling the vertices. Furthermore, if e is an inner edge of T , then $t_{s(e)} \geq t_{t(e)}$. In other words, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$ is the quotient of the sub-sequence

$$\coprod_{T \in \text{tree}} \prod_{v \in V(T)} \mathcal{BV}(O)(|v|) \times [0, 1] \Big/ \sim \quad (28)$$

coming from the restriction on the families of real numbers $\{t_v\}$. The equivalent relation is generated by the following axioms where $\iota : O \rightarrow \mathcal{BV}(O)$ and $\mu : \mathcal{BV}(O) \rightarrow O$ are the maps (24) and (25) respectively:

i) If a vertex is labelled by the unit $\iota(*_O)$, with $*_O \in O(1)$ then

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \right| \sim \left| \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ \vdots \\ \bullet \end{array} \right|$$

ii) If a vertex v is labelled by $x \cdot \sigma$, with $x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)$ and $\sigma \in \Sigma_{|v|}$, then

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} l_1^1 \quad \dots \quad l_{m_1}^1 \quad l_1^n \quad \dots \quad l_{m_n}^n \\ \downarrow \quad \dots \quad \downarrow \\ \boxed{T_1} \quad \dots \quad \boxed{T_n} \\ \downarrow \quad \dots \quad \downarrow \\ \bullet \\ (x \cdot \sigma, t) \end{array} \quad \sim \quad \begin{array}{c} l_1^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \quad \dots \quad l_{m_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}}^{\sigma^{-1}(1)} \quad l_1^{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \quad \dots \quad l_{m_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}}^{\sigma^{-1}(n)} \\ \downarrow \quad \dots \quad \downarrow \\ \boxed{T_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}} \quad \dots \quad \boxed{T_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}} \\ \downarrow \quad \dots \quad \downarrow \\ \bullet \\ (x, t) \end{array} \end{array}$$

iii) If two consecutive vertices, connected by an edge e , are indexed by the same real number $t \in [0, 1]$, then e is contracted by using the operadic structure of $\mathcal{BV}(O)$. The vertex so obtained is indexed by t .

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ (x_2; t) \quad (x_1; t) \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \\ (x_3; t_3) \end{array} \quad \sim \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 2 \quad 3 \quad 4 \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \bullet \\ (x_2 \circ_2 x_1; t) \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \\ (x_3; t_3) \end{array} \end{array}$$

Figure 15: Illustration of the relation (iii).

iv) If a vertex is labelled by $(x; \xi)$, with $x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)$ and $\xi \in \{0, 1\}$, then $(x; \xi)$ is identified with $(\mu(x); \xi)$.

$$\begin{array}{c} \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 2 \quad 4 \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ (x_1; 1) \quad (x_2; t_2) \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \\ (x_3; 0) \end{array} \quad \sim \quad \begin{array}{c} 1 \quad 3 \quad 5 \quad 2 \quad 4 \\ \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \quad \downarrow \\ \bullet \quad \bullet \\ (\mu(x_1); 1) \quad (x_2; t_2) \\ \downarrow \\ \bullet \\ (\mu(x_3); 0) \end{array} \end{array}$$

Figure 16: Illustration of the relation (iv).

Let us notice that the map $\gamma : O(0) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)(0)$ sends a point a_0 to the corolla indexed by the pair $(\iota(a_0); 0)$. Furthermore, if $[T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)(n)$ and $a \in O(m)$, then the right operation $[T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}] \circ^i a$ consists in grafting the m -corolla indexed by the pair $(\iota(a); 1)$ to the i -th incoming edge of T . Similarly, if $[T^i; \{t_v^i\}; \{x_v^i\}]$ is a family of points in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$, then left operation $a([T^1; \{t_v^1\}; \{x_v^1\}], \dots, [T^m; \{t_v^m\}; \{x_v^m\}])$ is defined as follows: each tree of the family is grafted from the left to right to a leaf of the m -corolla indexed by the pair $(\iota(a); 0)$.




Figure 17: Illustration of the relation the right operations.

From now on, we introduce a filtration of the resolution $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$ according to the number of geometrical inputs of the trees labelling the vertices. For this purpose, we give an equivalent definition of the coproduct (28) by using the set Υ . An element $[T; \{T_v\}] \in \Upsilon$ is given by a tree T , called the *main tree*, and to each $v \in V(T)$ we associate another tree T_v with $|v|$ leaves, called an *auxiliary tree*. So, the coproduct (28) is equivalent to the quotient of the sub-sequence

$$\coprod_{[T; \{T_v\}] \in \Upsilon} \prod_{v \in V(T)} [0, 1] \times \left(\prod_{v' \in V(T_v)} O(|v'|) \times \prod_{e \in E^{int}(T_v)} [0, 1] \right) / \sim$$

coming from the restriction on the real numbers indexing the vertices of the main tree. The equivalent relation is generated by the axioms of Construction 3.2 and 3.4.




Figure 18: Example of an element in Υ .

A point in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$ is said to be prime if the real numbers indexing the vertices of the main tree are in the interval $]0, 1[$. Besides, a point is said to be composite if one vertex of the main tree is indexed by 0 or 1 and such a point can be decomposed into prime components. A prime point is in the k -th filtration term $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)$ if the number of geometrical inputs (which is the number of leaves of the main tree plus the number of univalent vertices of the auxiliary trees) is smaller than k . Similarly, a composite point is in the k -filtration if its prime components are in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)$. By convention $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(O) = O_0$ and the family $\{\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)\}$ gives rise a filtration of $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$,

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_0(O) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{k-1}(O) \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O). \quad (29)$$

Theorem 3.5. Assume that O is a well pointed Σ -cofibrant operad. The objects $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$ and $T_k(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O))$ are cofibrant replacements of O and $T_k(O)$ in the categories Bimod_O and $T_k \text{Bimod}_O$ respectively.

Proof. We start by showing that the map $\tilde{\mu} : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O) \rightarrow O$, which sends the real numbers indexing the vertices of the main tree to 0, is a weak equivalence in the category of O -bimodules. It is sufficient to prove that

$\tilde{\mu}$ is a weak equivalence in the category of sequences. For this purpose, we consider the sequence $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'(O)$ obtained from the coproduct (28) by taking the equivalence relation generated by the axioms (i), (ii) and (iii) of Construction 3.4 as well as the relation defined as follows: if a vertex is labelled by $(x; 0)$, with $x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)$, then $(x; 0)$ is identified with $(\mu(x); 0)$. By construction, $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'(O)$ is just the a left module over O and there are the following maps of sequences:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{B}}'(O) \xrightarrow{f} \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\mu}} O,$$

where f is the quotient map coming from the equivalence relation defined as follows: if a vertex is labelled by $(x; 1)$, with $x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)$, then $(x; 1)$ is identified with $(\mu(x); 1)$. The composite map $\tilde{\mu} \circ f$ is an homotopy equivalence in which the homotopy consists in bringing the real numbers indexing the vertices of the main tree to 0. Let $x = [T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]$ be a point in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$. Due to the axiom (iii) of Construction 3.4, we can assume that the representative point doesn't have two consecutive vertices indexed by 1. Then, we denote by $Max(x)$ the set of vertices of the main tree indexed by 1. Thus, the fiber of f over x is the space

$$Fib(f; x) := \left\{ \{x'_v\} \in \prod_{v \in Max(x)} \mathcal{BV}(O)(|v|) \mid \mu(x'_v) = \mu(x_v) \right\}.$$

The fiber is contractible and the homotopy consists in bringing the real numbers indexing the inner edges to 0. As a consequence, the map $f : \tilde{\mathcal{B}}'(O) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$ is a weak equivalence. By using the **2to3** axiom in model category theory, we conclude that the map $\tilde{\mu}$ is also a weak equivalence in the category of sequences. Similarly, we can show that the map from $T_k(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O))$ to $T_k(O)$ is a weak equivalence.

Then, we prove that the filtration (29) is composed of cofibrations in the category of O -bimodules. For this purpose, we consider another filtration according to the number of vertices. Let $\Upsilon_k[l]$ be the set of elements $[T; \{T_v\}] \in \Upsilon$ having exactly k geometrical inputs and such that the sum of the vertices of auxiliary trees $\sum_v |V(T_v)|$ is equal to l . For instance, the element in Figure 18 is in $\Upsilon_9[8]$. Then, the sequence $Z_k[l]$ is the quotient of the sub-sequence

$$\prod_{[T; \{T_v\}] \in \Upsilon_k[l]} \prod_{v \in V(T)} [0, 1] \times \left(\prod_{v' \in V(T_v)} O(|v'|) \times \prod_{e \in E^{int}(T_v)} [0, 1] \right) \Bigg/ \sim \quad (30)$$

coming from the restriction on the real numbers indexing the vertices of the main tree. The equivalent relation is generated by the compatibility with the symmetric group axioms of Construction 3.2 and 3.4 as well as the axiom (iii) of Construction 3.4. The sequence $\partial Z_k[l]$ is formed by points in $Z_k[l]$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- there is a vertex of the main tree indexed by 0 or 1,
- there is an inner edge of an auxiliary tree indexed by 0,
- there is a bivalent vertex labelled by the unit of the operad O .

First, we define $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O)[1]$ from $O(0)$ by using a sequence of pushout diagrams. Indeed, let Z_0 be the sequence given by $Z_0(0) = O_0$, $Z_0(1) = *$ and the empty set otherwise. The inclusion, $O_0 \rightarrow Z_0$ is clearly a cofibration and we consider the following pushout diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_B(O_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_B(Z_0) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ O_0 & \longrightarrow & O_0^+ \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_B(\partial Z_1[1]) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_B(Z_1[1]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ O_0^+ & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O)[1] \end{array} \quad (31)$$

Roughly speaking, the based point in Z_0 is the corolla indexed by the class of pairs $(i(*_O); t)$, with $t \in [0, 1]$. For $(k; l) \neq (1; 1)$, the sequences $Z_k[l]$ and $\partial Z_k[l]$ are not objects in the category Seq_{O_0} . So, we denote by $\tilde{Z}_k[l]$ and $\partial \tilde{Z}_k[l]$ the sequences obtained as follows:

$$\tilde{Z}_k[l](n) := \begin{cases} Z_k[l](0) \sqcup O(0) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ Z_k[l](n) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial \tilde{Z}_k[l](n) := \begin{cases} \partial Z_k[l](0) \sqcup O(0) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \partial Z_k[l](n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we consider the following pushout diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_B(\partial \tilde{Z}_k[l]) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_B(\tilde{Z}_k[l]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l-1] & \longrightarrow & \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l] \end{array}$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.11, we can show by induction on the number of vertices of the main tree that the inclusion from $\partial Z_k[l]$ to $Z_k[l]$ is a Σ -cofibration. Since the pushout diagrams preserve the cofibrations, the map $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l-1] \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l]$ is a cofibration in the category of O -bimodules. Furthermore, the limit of the sequences $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l]$ is $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)$. Thus, the inclusion $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{k-1}(O) \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)$ is a cofibration in the category of O -bimodules. As a consequence, for $(k; l) \neq (1; 1)$, the vertical maps of the the following pullback diagrams are fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Bimod_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O)[1]; O') & \longrightarrow & Seq(Z_1[1]; O') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Bimod_O(O_0^+; O') & \longrightarrow & Seq(\partial Z_1[1]; O') \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Bimod_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l]; O') & \longrightarrow & Seq(Z_k[l]; O') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Bimod_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l-1]; O') & \longrightarrow & Seq(\partial Z_k[l]; O') \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if $g \in Bimod_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l-1]; O')$, then the fiber over g is homeomorphic to the mapping space from $Z_k[l]$ to O' such that the restriction to sub-sequence $\partial Z_k[l]$ coincides with the map induced by g :

$$Seq^g(Z_k[l], \partial Z_k[l]; O'), \quad (32)$$

Finally, we give a description of the space $Bimod_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O)[1]; O')$. Let us remark that the points in $Z_1[1](0)$ are corollas indexed by pairs $(\iota(x); t)$, with $x \in O(0)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Similarly, the points in $Z_1[1](1)$ are corollas indexed by pairs $(\iota(x); t)$, with $x \in O(1)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Then, by using the pushout diagrams (31), we deduce that a point in the mapping space of bimodules is given by a pair of continuous maps:

$$h_0 : O(0) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow O'(0) \quad \text{and} \quad h_1 : O(1) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow O'(1), \quad (33)$$

such that, $\forall t \in [0, 1]$, $h_1(*_O; t)$ is equal to a constant denoted by $h_1(*)$. Moreover, the pair $(h_0; h_1)$ has to satisfy the following relations:

$$\begin{cases} h_0(x; 0) = \eta(x), \\ h_0(x; 1) = h_1(*) \circ^1 \eta(x), \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} h_1(x; 0) = \eta(x) \circ_1 h_1(*), \\ h_1(x; 1) = h_1(*) \circ^1 \eta(x). \end{cases}$$

□

3.3 Delooping between derived mapping spaces of operad and bimodule

From the cofibrant replacements and the towers of fibrations introduced in the previous sections, we are able to build two continuous maps:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi : \Omega Operad^h(O; O') &\longrightarrow Bimod_O^h(O; O'), \\ \xi_k : \Omega(T_k Operad^h(T_k(O); T_k(O'))) &\longrightarrow T_k Bimod_O^h(T_k(O); T_k(O')). \end{aligned}$$

First of all, we recall that a point in the loop space $\Omega Operad(\mathcal{BV}(O); O')$, based on the composite map $\eta \circ \mu : \mathcal{BV}(O) \rightarrow O \rightarrow O'$, is given by a family of continuous maps:

$$g_n : \mathcal{BV}(O)(n) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow O'(n), \quad \forall n \geq 0,$$

satisfying the following conditions:

- $g_n(\iota(*_O); t) = *_O'$ $\forall t \in [0, 1]$,
- $g_n(x \circ_i y; t) = g_l(x; t) \circ_i g_{n-l+1}(y; t)$ $\forall t \in [0, 1]$, $x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)(l)$ and $y \in \mathcal{BV}(O)(n-l+1)$,
- $g_n(x; t) = \eta \circ \mu(x)$ $t \in \{0; 1\}$ and $x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)(n)$.

Let $[T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}] \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$ and σ be the permutation indexing the leaves of T . We define $\xi(g)$ by induction on the number of vertices of T . If $|V(T)| = 1$, then $[T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]$ is a corolla indexing by a pair $(x_r; t_r)$, with $x_r \in \mathcal{BV}(O)$ and $t_r \in [0, 1]$. In that case, $\xi(g)$ is defined as follows:

$$\xi(g)([T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]) = g_{|T|}(x_r; t_r) \cdot \sigma.$$

Otherwise, the planar tree induced by T has a decomposition on the form $T_1 \circ_i T_2$ with T_1, T_2 two planar trees and $i \in \{1, \dots, |T_1|\}$. So, we denote by $[T_1; \{t_v^1\}; \{x_v^1\}]$ and $[T_2; \{t_v^2\}; \{x_v^2\}]$ the points in $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}(O)$ having the same indexations of $[T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]$. In that case, $\xi(g)$ is defined by the following formula:

$$\xi(g)([T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]) = \left(\xi(g)([T_1; \{t_v^1\}; \{x_v^1\}]) \circ_i \xi(g)([T_2; \{t_v^2\}; \{x_v^2\}]) \right) \cdot \sigma.$$

For instance, the image of the point $[T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]$ in Figure (15) is the following one:

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(g)([T; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]) &= g_2(x_3; t_3) \circ_2 (g_2(x_2; t) \circ_2 g_2(x_1; t)), \\ &= g_2(x_3; t_3) \circ_2 g_3(x_2 \circ_2 x_1; t). \end{aligned}$$

The map ξ induces a morphism between the two towers of fibrations introduced in Section 3.1 and 3.2

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \Omega\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_1(O)[1]; O') & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & \Omega\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1]; O') & \longleftarrow & \Omega\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]; O') & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ \xi^{1;1} \downarrow & & & & \xi^{k;l-1} \downarrow & & \xi^{k;l} \downarrow & & \\ \text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O)[1]; O') & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l-1]; O') & \longleftarrow & \text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l]; O') & \longleftarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Since the horizontal maps are fibrations, ξ is a weak equivalence if the maps $\xi^{k;l}$ are weak equivalences. According to the notation of (27) and (33), the image of $\xi^{1;1}$ is the subspace of $\text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O)[1]; O')$ formed by pairs $(h_0; h_1)$ in which $h_1(*_O; t) = h_1(*) = *_O$, with $*_O$ the unit of the operad O' . In other words, the image can be expressed as the fiber

$$\text{Fib}(\alpha : \text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O)[1]; O') \longrightarrow \text{Bimod}_O(O_0^+; O')),$$

over the map sending the based point $* \in O_0^+$ to $*_O$. As shown in the proof of Theorem 3.5, α is a fibration. So, the fiber is weakly equivalent to its homotopy fiber. Furthermore, the homotopy fiber is weakly equivalent to $\text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_1(O)[1]; O')$ since the space $\text{Bimod}_O(O_0^+; O')$ is contractible:

$$\text{Bimod}_O(O_0^+; O') \cong \text{Seq}_{O_0}(Z_0; O') = O'(1) \simeq *.$$

Thus proves that the map $\xi^{1;1}$ is a weak equivalence.

We show that the other maps are weak equivalences by induction. From now on, we assume that $\xi^{k;l-1}$ is a weak equivalence and g is a point in the loop space $\Omega\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1]; O')$. Then, we denote the fiber over g by F_1 and the fiber over $\xi^{k;l-1}(g)$ by F_2 . One has the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \Omega\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1]; O') & \longleftarrow & \Omega\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]; O') & \longleftarrow & F_1 \\ \xi^{k;l-1} \downarrow \simeq & & \xi^{k;l} \downarrow & & \xi^g \downarrow \\ \text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l-1]; O') & \longleftarrow & \text{Bimod}_O(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_k(O)[l]; O') & \longleftarrow & F_2 \end{array}$$

Since the left horizontal maps are fibrations, $\xi^{k;l}$ is a weak equivalence if the map ξ^g between the fibers is a weak equivalence. From the identifications (26) and (32), there is the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \text{Seq}^g((X_k[l] \times [0, 1], \partial' X_k[l]); O') \\ \xi^g \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_2 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \text{Seq}^{\xi^{k;l-1}(g)}((Z_k[l], \partial Z_k[l]); O') \end{array} \quad (34)$$

First, we identify $\partial X_k[l]$ and $X_k[l] \times [0, 1]$ with sub-sequences of $Z_k[l]$. Indeed, there is an inclusion from $X_k[l] \times [0, 1]$ to $Z_k[l]$ sending a pair $(x; t)$ to the corolla indexed by $(x; t)$. Thus, we consider the set $\phi_k[l]$ of elements $[T; \{T_v\}] \in \Upsilon_k[l]$ in which T is not a corolla. Let $Z_k^{(1)}[l]$ and $Z_k^{(2)}[l]$ be the following sub-sequences:

- $Z_k^{(1)}[I]$ is the quotient of the restriction of the coproduct (30) to the set $\phi_k[I]$. The equivalent relation is generated by the compatibility with the symmetric group axioms of Constructions 3.2 and 3.4 as well as the relation defined as follows: if an inner edge e of the main tree T , with $|V(T)| > 2$, satisfies $t_{t(e)} = t_{s(e)}$, then e is contracted by using the operadic structure of $\mathcal{BV}(O)$. Roughly speaking, $Z_k^{(1)}[I]$ is equivalent to the sequence $\partial X_k[I] \times [0, 1]$.
- $Z_k^{(2)}[I]$ is the quotient of the restriction of the coproduct (30) to the elements $[T; \{T_v\}] \notin \phi_k[I]$ in which the unique vertex of the main tree is indexed by 0 or 1. The equivalent relation is generated by the compatibility with the symmetric group axioms of Constructions 3.2 and 3.4. Roughly speaking, $Z_k^{(2)}[I]$ is equivalent to the sequence $X_k[I] \times \{0, 1\}$.
- $Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap Z_k^{(2)}[I]$ is formed by points in $Z_k^{(1)}[I]$ in which all the vertices of the main tree are indexed by the same real number $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Alternatively, this sequence can be defined as the set of points in $Z_k^{(2)}[I]$ having at least one inner edge indexed by 1. Roughly speaking, $Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap Z_k^{(2)}[I]$ is equivalent to the sequence $\partial X_k[I] \times \{0, 1\}$.

Then, we consider the pushout product:

$$\partial' Z_k[I] := Z_k^{(1)}[I] \coprod_{Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap Z_k^{(2)}[I]} Z_k^{(2)}[I].$$

If $\tilde{g}$ denotes the map induced by g on the sub-sequence $\partial' Z_k$, then the diagram (34) is equivalent to the following one:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & Seq^{\tilde{g}}((Z_k[I], \partial' Z_k[I]); O') \\ \downarrow \xi^g & & \downarrow \\ F_2 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & Seq^{\xi^k; l-1(g)}((Z_k[I], \partial Z_k[I]); O') \end{array}$$

Consequently, the map ξ is a weak equivalence if the inclusion from $\partial Z_k[I]$ to $\partial' Z_k[I]$ is an homotopy equivalence. In the same as in the proof of Theorem 2.11, we can show by induction on the number of vertices of the main tree that $\partial Z_k[I]$ is Σ -cofibrant. So, $\partial Z_k[I] \rightarrow \partial' Z_k[I]$ is an homotopy equivalence if the inclusion is an acyclic cofibration. For this purpose, let us remark that $\partial Z_k[I]$ can also be expressed as the pushout product

$$\partial Z_k[I] := (Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap \partial Z_k[I]) \coprod_{Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap Z_k^{(2)}[I]} Z_k^{(2)}[I].$$

Proposition 3.11, applied to the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap \partial Z_k[I] & \longleftarrow & Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap Z_k^{(2)}[I] & \longrightarrow & Z_k^{(2)}[I] \\ \downarrow & & \parallel & & \parallel \\ Z_k^{(1)}[I] & \longleftarrow & Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap Z_k^{(2)}[I] & \longrightarrow & Z_k^{(2)}[I] \end{array}$$

implies that $\partial Z_k[I] \rightarrow \partial' Z_k[I]$ is an acyclic Σ -cofibration if the inclusion from $Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap \partial Z_k[I]$ to $Z_k^{(1)}[I]$ is an acyclic Σ -cofibration. Unfortunately, we can not prove the weak equivalence by induction on the number of vertices of the main tree. To solve this issue, in the next section we express the sequences $Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap \partial Z_k[I]$ and $Z_k^{(1)}[I]$ in terms of colimits

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I])} \mathbb{F}^- = Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap \partial Z_k[I] \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I])} \mathbb{F} = Z_k^{(1)}[I].$$

Then, we use technical tools coming from the homotopy theory of diagrams to prove that the inclusion above is an acyclic Σ -cofibration. More precisely, Propositions 3.15, 3.16 and 3.17 claim that there is a natural transformation from $\mathbb{F}^-$ to $\mathbb{F}$ which is an acyclic cofibration between cofibrant objects in the model category of functors from $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I])$ to sequences. According to Theorem 3.10, this natural transformation induces an acyclic Σ -cofibration between the colimits. Thus proves Theorem 3.1.

3.4 Homotopy theory of diagrams and construction of the functors $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F}^-$

In what follows, we introduced the functors $\mathbb{F}$ and $\mathbb{F}^-$ as well as the notions necessary to prove that the map from $\text{colim } \mathbb{F}^-$ to $\text{colim } \mathbb{F}$ is an acyclic Σ -cofibration. For this purpose, we recall the notion of Reedy model category and criteria characterizing cofibrations and cofibrant objects. We refer the reader to [6], [16] and [17] for more details about the homotopy theory of diagrams.

Definition 3.6. A *Reedy category* is a small category $\mathcal{D}$ endowed with two subcategories $\mathcal{D}_-$ (the *inverse category*) and $\mathcal{D}_+$ (the *direct category*), both of which contain all the objects of $\mathcal{D}$, in which every object can be assigned a non-negative integer, called the *degree*, such that:

- every non-identity map of $\mathcal{D}_+$ raises degree,
- every non-identity map of $\mathcal{D}_-$ lowers degree,
- every map in $\mathcal{D}$ factors uniquely as a map in $\mathcal{D}_-$ followed by a map in $\mathcal{D}_+$.

Many small categories of diagram shapes are Reedy categories such as

$$(\cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdots) \quad \text{or} \quad (\cdot \longleftarrow \cdot \longrightarrow \cdot)$$

Example 3.7. The Reedy category $\phi_k^p[l]$

For $l \geq 1$, the objects of the reedy category $\phi_k^p[l]$ are elements $[T; \{T_v\}] \in \Upsilon_k[l]$ in which the trees T and T_v are planar and the main tree T is not a corolla. Each element $[T; \{T_v\}]$ can be assigned to a non-negative integer given by $l - |V(T)|$. The morphisms are generated by maps on the form $[T; \{T_v\}] \rightarrow [T'; \{T'_v\}]$ where T' is obtained from T by contracting an inner edge e . Moreover, if $v' \in V(T')$ is the vertex coming from the contraction of $e = e_i(t(e))$, then $T'_{v'} = T_{t(e)} \circ_i T_{s(e)}$ and $T'_v = T_v$ for $v \neq v'$. Hence, the direct category is the category $\phi_k^p[l]$ whereas the inverse category contains only the identity maps.




Figure 19: Examples of morphisms in the category $\phi_4^p[3]$.

Analogously to Definition 2.3, one can talk about non-planar isomorphism for the objects in the category $\phi_k^p[l]$ and more particularly about the automorphisms group $\text{Aut}([T; \{T_v\}])$ associated to an element $[T; \{T_v\}]$. More precisely, the class of an element $[T; \{T_v\}]$ up to a non-planar isomorphism, denoted by $\langle T; \{T_v\} \rangle$, is isomorphic to the product of the classes of the auxiliary trees. In order to take into account the right action of the permutation group as well as the axiom (iii) of Construction 3.4, we change slightly the reedy category $\phi_k^p[l]$.

Definition 3.8. The Reedy category $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[l])$

The objects of the reedy category $\Phi_k^p[l]$ are equivalence classes of $\phi_k^p[l]$ up to a non-planar isomorphism. Since a non-planar isomorphism preserves the number of vertices of the main tree, $\langle T; \{T_v\} \rangle$ is assigned to the non-negative integer $l - |V(T)|$. There is a morphism $\langle T; \{T_v\} \rangle \rightarrow \langle T'; \{T'_v\} \rangle$ if one of the following equivalent conditions is satisfied:

- $\forall [T_1; \{T_v^1\}] \in \langle T; \{T_v\} \rangle, \exists [T_2; \{T_v^2\}] \in \langle T'; \{T'_v\} \rangle$ such that $[T_1; \{T_v^1\}] \rightarrow [T_2; \{T_v^2\}]$,
- $\forall [T_2; \{T_v^2\}] \in \langle T'; \{T'_v\} \rangle, \exists [T_1; \{T_v^1\}] \in \langle T; \{T_v\} \rangle$ such that $[T_1; \{T_v^1\}] \rightarrow [T_2; \{T_v^2\}]$.




Figure 20: Example of morphisms in the category $\Phi_5^p[4]$.

Then, we consider the reedy category $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[l])$ whose objects are chains of non-identity morphisms in the category $\Phi_k^p[l]$. Let $C := \langle T_0; \{T_v^0\} \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \langle T_n; \{T_v^n\} \rangle$ be a chain of length n which is necessarily smaller than l . So, the chain C can be assigned to the non-negative integer $l - n$. The morphisms are generated by the following map:

$$d_i^n(C) := \begin{cases} \langle T_1; \{T_v^1\} \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \langle T_n; \{T_v^n\} \rangle & \text{for } i = 0, \\ \langle T_0; \{T_v^0\} \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \langle T_{n-1}; \{T_v^{n-1}\} \rangle & \text{for } i = n. \end{cases}$$

Hence, the direct category is $\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[l])$ whereas the inverse category contains only the identity maps.

Notation 3.9. Let $F_1, F_2 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ be two functors and $t : F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$ be a natural transformation. For $d \in \mathcal{D}$, we denote by $[d]_0$ the category of objects of $\mathcal{D}$ over d containing all of the objects except the identity map of d . The latching object of F_1 and the relative latching object of t at d are respectively:

$$L_d(F_1) := \operatorname{colim}_{[d]_0} F_1 \quad \text{and} \quad L_d(f) := F_1(d) \coprod_{L_d(F_1)} L_d(F_2).$$

Theorem 3.10. [17, Theorem 15.3.4] Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a model category and $\mathcal{D}$ be a reedy category such that $\mathcal{D}_-$ contains just the identity maps. There is a model structure on the category $\operatorname{Func}(\mathcal{D}; \mathcal{C})$ of $\mathcal{D}$ -diagram in $\mathcal{C}$, called the Reedy model category structure, in which a natural transformation $t : F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$ is:

- a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) if for every object $d \in \mathcal{D}$ the map $t_d : F_1(d) \rightarrow F_2(d)$ is a weak equivalence (resp. a fibration) in $\mathcal{C}$,
- a cofibration if for every object $d \in \mathcal{D}$ the map $L_d(f) \rightarrow F_2(d)$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$.

In particular, F_1 is cofibrant if for every object $d \in \mathcal{D}$ the map $L_d(F_1) \rightarrow F_1(d)$ is a cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$. Furthermore, an (acyclic) cofibration $t : F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$ between cofibrant objects induces an (acyclic) cofibration in $\mathcal{C}$:

$$\operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} F_1 \longrightarrow \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} F_2.$$

Proposition 3.11. [6, Proposition 2.6] In the case $\mathcal{D} := (*_1 \leftarrow *_2 \rightarrow *_3)$, a natural transformation $t : F_1 \Rightarrow F_2$:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} F_1 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} (F_1(*_1) \longleftarrow F_1(*_2) \longrightarrow F_1(*_3)) \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow t_1 \quad \downarrow t_2 \quad \downarrow t_3 \\ \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} F_2 & \xlongequal{\quad} & \operatorname{colim}_{\mathcal{D}} (F_2(*_1) \longleftarrow F_2(*_2) \longrightarrow F_2(*_3)) \end{array}$$

induces a cofibration f if the maps t_3 and $L_1(t) \rightarrow F_2(*_1)$ are cofibrations. Furthermore, f is an acyclic cofibration if the maps t_2, t_3 are acyclic cofibrations whereas t_1 is a weak equivalence and $L_1(t) \rightarrow F_2(*_1)$ is a cofibration.

Definition 3.12. The functors $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}^- : \mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[l]) \rightarrow \operatorname{Seq}$

Let $C := \langle T_0; \{T_v^0\} \rangle \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \langle T_n; \{T_v^n\} \rangle$ be a chain of length n and $i \in \{0, \dots, n\}$. Then, we fix a representative element $[T_j; \{T_v^j\}]$ for each class $\langle T_j; \{T_v^j\} \rangle$. By definition, there are an element $[T'; \{T_v^0\}] \in \langle T_0; \{T_v^0\} \rangle$ and a morphism from $[T'; \{T_v^0\}]$ to the representative element $[T_i; \{T_v^i\}]$. We denote by $E_i^1(C)$ the set of inner edges of the auxiliary trees $\{T_v^i\}$ coming from the contraction of inner edges of T' . For instance, the set $E_1^1(C)$ associated to the chain of length 2 in Figure 20 is reduced to the edge $\{e_2\}$.

Similarly, there are an element $[T''; \{T_v^n\}] \in \langle T_n; \{T_v^n\} \rangle$ and a morphism from the representative element $[T_i; \{T_v^i\}]$ to $[T''; \{T_v^n\}]$. In particular, T'' is obtained from T_i by contracting a set of inner edges denoted by $E_i^2(C)$. For instance, the set $E_1^2(C)$ associated to the chain of length 2 in Figure 20 is reduced to the edge $\{e_1\}$. Since the sets $E_i^1(C)$ and $E_i^2(C)$ depend on the choice of the representative point up to an automorphism, we consider the following set:

$$E_i(C) := \left\{ E_1 \times E_2 \subset \left(\prod_{v \in V(T_i)} E^{int}(T_v^i) \right) \times E^{int}(T_i) \mid \exists g \in \operatorname{Aut}([T_i; \{T_v^i\}]), g(E_1 \times E_2) = E_i^1(C) \times E_i^2(C) \right\}.$$

Then, we introduce the two spaces

$$H_i(C) \subset \prod_{v \in V(T_i)} [0, 1] \times \prod_{e \in E^{int}(T_v^i)} [0, 1] \quad \text{and} \quad M_i(C) := \prod_{v \in V(T_i)} \prod_{v' \in V(T_v^i)} O(|v'|),$$

where $H_i(C)$ is the subspace coming from the restriction on the real numbers indexing the vertices of the main tree. Furthermore, $H_i(C)$ is formed by points in which there is $E_1 \times E_2 \in E_i(C)$ such that the edges in E_1 are indexed by 1 whereas the edges $e \in E_2$ satisfy the equality $t_{s(e)} = t_{t(e)}$. By construction, the spaces $H_i(C)$ and $M_i(C)$ are endowed with a right action of the automorphism group $Aut([T_i; \{T_v^i\}])$. Thus, $\mathbb{F}_i(C) \in Seq$ is the following sequence concentrated in arity $|T_i| = |T_0|$:

$$\mathbb{F}_i(C) := \left(H_i(C) \times M_i(C) \right) \times_{Aut([T_i; \{T_v^i\}])} \Sigma_{|T_0|}.$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.11, $H_i^-(C)$ is the subspace of $H_i(C)$ formed by points having an inner edge indexed by 0 or having a vertex of the main tree indexed by 0 or 1. The space $M_i^-(C)$ is formed by points in $M_i(C)$ having a bivalent vertex labelled by the unit of the operad O . Thus, we consider the following pushout product:

$$(H \times M)_i^-(C) := H_i^-(C) \times M_i(C) \prod_{H_i^-(C) \times M_i^-(C)} H_i(C) \times M_i^-(C).$$

This space is endowed with a right action of the automorphism group $Aut([T_i; \{T_v^i\}])$. So, $\mathbb{F}_i^-(C) \in Seq$ is the following sequence concentrated in arity $|T_i| = |T_0|$:

$$\mathbb{F}_i^-(C) := (H \times M)_i^-(C) \times_{Aut([T_i; \{T_v^i\}])} \Sigma_{|T_0|}.$$

Lemma 3.13. *For $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$ and $\mathbb{F}_i(C)$ (resp. $\mathbb{F}_0^-(C)$ and $\mathbb{F}_i^-(C)$) are isomorphic.*

Proof. We only give the isomorphism between the sequences $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$ and $\mathbb{F}_i(C)$. First, we remark that $\mathbb{F}_i(C)$ doesn't depend on the choice of the representative elements $[T_j; \{T_v^j\}] \in \langle T_j; \{T_v^j\} \rangle$ since there is a bijection between the automorphisms groups $Aut([T; \{T_v\}])$ and $Aut([T'; \{T_v'\}])$ for each morphism $[T; \{T_v\}] \rightarrow [T'; \{T_v'\}]$ in the category $\phi_k^p[I]$. As a consequence, it may be assumed that there are morphisms between the representative elements:

$$[T_0; \{T_v^0\}] \longrightarrow [T_i; \{T_v^i\}] \longrightarrow [T_n; \{T_v^n\}].$$

Thus, one has $E_0^1(C) = \emptyset$ and T_i is obtained from T_0 by contracting a set of edges $E \subset E_0^2(C)$. By definition, $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$ is a quotient by the relation identifying the right and the left action of the automorphism group $Aut([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ of the spaces $H_0 \times M_0$ and $\Sigma_{|T_0|}$ respectively. So, if $[T_0; \{t_v\}; \{x_v\}]$ is a point in $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$, then we can assume that the edges $e \in E_0^2(C)$ satisfy the relation $t_{s(e)} = t_{t(e)}$. Consequently, the map from $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$ to $\mathbb{F}_i(C)$ consists in contracting the edges in the set E and indexing the new inner edges of the auxiliary trees by 1. The reader can check that this map is an isomorphism. $\square$

Let us notice that $E_0(d_n^n(C))$ is a subset of $E_0(C)$. So, the map $\mathbb{F}_0(d_n^n)$ arises from the inclusion $H_0(C) \rightarrow H_0(d_n^n(C))$ which preserves the right action of the automorphisms group $Aut([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$. In order to describe the map $\mathbb{F}_0(d_0^n)$, we use the isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_0(C) \cong \mathbb{F}_1(C)$ together with the inclusion from $E_0(d_0^n(C))$ to the set $E_1(C)$. Consequently, the map $\mathbb{F}_0(d_0^n) : \mathbb{F}_0(C) \cong \mathbb{F}_1(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0(d_0^n(C))$ arises from the inclusion $H_1(C) \rightarrow H_0(d_0^n(C))$ which preserves the right action of the automorphism group $Aut([T_1; \{T_v^1\}])$. Finally, the maps $\mathbb{F}_0^-(d_0^n)$ and $\mathbb{F}_0^-(d_n^n)$ are defined in the same way and one has the following two functors whose colimits are $Z_k^{(1)}[I] \cap \partial Z_k[I]$ and $Z_k^{(1)}[I]$ respectively:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^- : \mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I]) &\longrightarrow Seq & C &\longmapsto \mathbb{F}_0^-(C), \\ \mathbb{F} : \mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I]) &\longrightarrow Seq & C &\longmapsto \mathbb{F}_0(C). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.14. *Let C be a chain of length n . The space $M_0^-(C)$ is $Aut([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibrant.*

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.11, the space $M_0(C)$ is $Aut([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibrant whereas the map from $M_0^-(C)$ to $M_0(C)$ is an $Aut([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibration. Consequently, the space $M_0^-(C)$ is $Aut([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibrant if the inclusion $M_0^-(C) \rightarrow M_0(C)$ is also a weak equivalence. Nevertheless, we assumed that the map $*_O \rightarrow O(1)$ is an acyclic cofibration between cofibrant pointed spaces. So, there is a deformation retract, preserving $*_O$, sending $O(1)$ to its unit. By using this homotopy, we prove that $M_0^-(C)$ to $M_0(C)$ are both weakly equivalent to the space $M_0^*(C)$ formed by points whose bivalent vertices are indexed by $*_O$. Finally, the lemma follows from the 2to3 axiom and the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M_0^-(C) & \xrightarrow{\quad} & M_0(C) \\ & \searrow \cong & \swarrow \cong \\ & M_0^*(C) & \end{array}$$

$\square$

Proposition 3.15. *The functors $\mathbb{F}^-$ and $\mathbb{F}$ are cofibrant in the Reedy model category $\text{Func}(\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I]); \text{Seq})$.*

Proof. Let C be a chain of length n . We have to show that the map from $L_C(\mathbb{F})$ to $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$ is a Σ -cofibration. For this purpose, we describe $L_C(\mathbb{F})$ as a sub-sequence of $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$. Roughly speaking, $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$ is defined as a sequence with restrictions coming from the set $E_0(C)$ whereas $L_C(\mathbb{F})$ is formed by points in $\mathbb{F}_0(C)$ with additional restrictions. That's why, we introduce the following set:

$$E_0^+(C) := \left\{ E_1^+ \times E_2^+ \subset \left(\prod_{v \in V(T_0)} E^{\text{int}}(T_v^0) \right) \times E^{\text{int}}(T_0) \mid \exists E_1 \times E_2 \in E_0(C) \text{ with } (E_2 = E_2^+ \text{ and } E_1^+ \neq \emptyset) \text{ or } E_2 \subsetneq E_2^+ \right\}.$$

This definition makes sense because $E_1 = \emptyset$. Then we consider the subspace

$$A_0(C) \subset \prod_{v \in V(T_0)} [0, 1] \times \prod_{e \in E^{\text{int}}(T_v^0)} [0, 1],$$

coming from the restriction on the real numbers labelling the vertices of the main tree. Moreover, $A_0(C)$ is formed by points in which there is $E_1^+ \times E_2^+ \in E_0^+(C)$ such that the edges in E_1^+ are indexed by 1 whereas the edges $e \in E_2^+$ satisfy the equality $t_{s(e)} = t_{t(e)}$. By construction, $A_0(C)$ is endowed with a right action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ and one has the following identification:

$$L_C(\mathbb{F}) \cong (A_0(C) \times M_0(C)) \times_{\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])} \Sigma_{|T_0|}.$$

Since $A_0(C)$ is a sub-CW-complex of $H_0(C)$, the inclusion $A_0(C) \rightarrow H_0(C)$ is a cofibration preserving the action of the group $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$. Lemma 2.13, applied to the map $A_0(C) \rightarrow H_0(C)$ and the $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibration $\emptyset \rightarrow M_0(C)$, implies that the following map is an $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibration:

$$A_0(C) \times M_0(C) = (A_0(C) \times M_0(C)) \coprod_{A_0(C) \times \emptyset} \emptyset \times H_0(C) \longrightarrow H_0(C) \times M_0(C).$$

As a consequence, $L_C(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0(C)$ is a Σ -cofibration and the functor $\mathbb{F}$ is cofibrant in $\text{Func}(\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I]); \text{Seq})$.

Similarly, we prove that the map $L_C(\mathbb{F}^-) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0^-(C)$ is a Σ -cofibration. Indeed, let $A_0^-(C)$ be the sub-space of $A_0(C)$ formed by points having an inner edge indexed by 0 or having a vertex of the main tree indexed by 0 or 1. Then, we consider the pushout product

$$(A \times M)_0^-(C) := A_0^-(C) \times M_0(C) \coprod_{A_0^-(C) \times M_0^-(C)} A_0(C) \times M_0^-(C).$$

So, $L_C(\mathbb{F}^-)$ has the following description:

$$L_C(\mathbb{F}^-) \cong (A \times M)_0^-(C) \times_{\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])} \Sigma_{|T_0|}.$$

In order to prove that the map from $(A \times M)_0^-(C)$ to $(H \times M)_0^-(C)$ is an $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibration, we apply Lemma 3.11 to the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A_0^-(C) \times M_0(C) & \longleftarrow & A_0^-(C) \times M_0^-(C) & \longrightarrow & A_0(C) \times M_0^-(C) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ H_0^-(C) \times M_0(C) & \longleftarrow & H_0^-(C) \times M_0^-(C) & \longrightarrow & H_0(C) \times M_0^-(C) \end{array}$$

Indeed, Lemma 2.13, implied to the cofibration $A_0(C) \rightarrow H_0(C)$ preserving $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ and the $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibration $\emptyset \rightarrow M_0^-(C)$ (see Lemma 3.14), implies that the right vertical map in the diagram is an $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibration. Furthermore, Lemma 2.13, applied to the maps $A_0^-(C) \rightarrow H_0^-(C)$ and $M_0^-(C) \rightarrow M_0(C)$, implies that the map

$$A_0^-(C) \times M_0(C) \coprod_{A_0^-(C) \times M_0^-(C)} H_0^-(C) \times M_0^-(C) \longrightarrow H_0^-(C) \times M_0(C)$$

is an $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$ -cofibration. Finally, $L_C(\mathbb{F}^-) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0^-(C)$ is a Σ -cofibration and the functor $\mathbb{F}^-$ is also cofibrant in $\text{Func}(\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I]); \text{Seq})$. $\square$

Proposition 3.16. *The natural transformation $t : \mathbb{F}^- \Rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is objectwise an acyclic Σ -cofibration.*

Proof. Due to Lemma 3.13, it is sufficient to prove that $\mathbb{F}_n^-(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n(C)$ is an acyclic Σ -cofibration. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.11, we can easily check that the inclusion $M_n^-(C) \rightarrow M_n(C)$ is an $\text{Aut}([T_n; \{T_v^n\}])$ -cofibration. Moreover, the space $H_n(C)$ is a polytope and $H_n^-(C)$ is the union of faces of the polytope $H_n(C)$ coming from the restriction on the real numbers indexing the vertices of the main tree or inner edges of auxiliary trees. So, the inclusion $H_n^-(C) \rightarrow H_n(C)$ is a cofibration which preserves the right action of automorphism group $\text{Aut}([T_n; \{T_v^n\}])$. In order to show that this inclusion is also a weak equivalence, it is sufficient to prove that $H_n^-(C)$ and $H_n(C)$ are contractible. For this purpose, we consider the following set:

$$\text{Max}(T_n) := \{v \in V(T_n) \mid \nexists e \in E^{\text{int}}(T_n), t(e) = v\}.$$

Since $[T_n; \{T_v^n\}] \in \phi_k^p[I]$, the main tree T_n is not a corolla. As a consequence, the root r is not an element in $\text{Max}(T_n)$ and $\text{Max}(T_n)$ is not empty. First, let $\mathcal{H}_1$ be the homotopy bringing the real numbers indexing the vertices in $\text{Max}(T_n)$ to 1, the real number indexing the root to 0 and the other real numbers to $1/2$. In other words, $\mathcal{H}_1 : H_n(C) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow H_n(C)$ sends $(\{t_v\}; \{t_v^e\}) \times u$ to the point $(\{H_{T_n}(t_v; u)\}; \{t_v^e\})$ where

$$H_{T_n}(t_v; u) := \begin{cases} (1 - t_v)u + t_v & \text{if } v \in \text{Max}(T_n), \\ (1 - u)t_v & \text{if } v = r, \\ (1/2 - t_v)u + t_v & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thereafter, we use the homotopy $\mathcal{H}_2$ bringing the real numbers indexing the inner edges of auxiliary trees to 1. Finally, the homotopy, showing that the space $H_n(C)$ is contractible, is given by:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H} : H_n(C) \times [0, 1] &\longrightarrow H_n(C); \\ (x; u) &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \mathcal{H}_1(x; 2u) & \text{if } u \leq 1/2, \\ \mathcal{H}_2(\mathcal{H}_1(x; 1); 2u - 1) & \text{if } u \geq 1/2. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the restriction of the homotopy $\mathcal{H}$ to the subspace $H_n^-(C)$ is well defined and proves that $H_n^-(C)$ is also contractible. By using Lemma 2.13, the inclusion from $(H \times M)_n^-(C)$ to $H_n(C) \times M_n(C)$ is an acyclic $\text{Aut}([T_n; \{T_v^n\}])$ -cofibration. Finally, $\mathbb{F}_n^-(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_n(C)$ is an acyclic Σ -cofibration. $\square$




Figure 21: Illustration of the homotopy $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 3.17. *The natural transformation $t : \mathbb{F}^- \Rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a cofibration in $\text{Func}(\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I]); \text{Seq})$.*

Proof. Let C be a chain of length n . We have to show that the map $L_C(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(C)$ is a Σ -cofibration. According to the notation introduced in the proof of Proposition 3.15, one has an explicit description of $L_C(t)$ as a sub-sequence of $\mathbb{F}(C)$. For this purpose, we consider the spaces

$$A_0^+(C) := A_0(C) \coprod_{A^-(C)} H^-(C) \quad \text{and} \quad (A \times M)_0^+(C) := (A_0^+(C) \times M_0(C)) \coprod_{A_0^+(C) \times M_0^-(C)} (H_0(C) \times M_0^-(C)).$$

These spaces are endowed with a right action of the automorphism group $\text{Aut}([T_0; \{T_v^0\}])$. So, one has the following identification:

$$L_C(t) \cong (A \times M)_0^+(C) \times_{\text{Aut}([T_n; \{T_v^n\}])} \Sigma_{|T_0|}.$$

Since $A_0^+(C)$ is a sub-CW-complex of $H_0(C)$, the inclusion $A_0^+(C) \rightarrow H_0(C)$ is a cofibration preserving $\text{Aut}([T_n; \{T_v^n\}])$. Lemma 2.13, applied to the map $A_0^+(C) \rightarrow H_0(C)$ and the $\text{Aut}([T_n; \{T_v^n\}])$ -cofibration $M_0^-(C) \rightarrow M_0(C)$, implies that $(A \times M)_0^+(C) \rightarrow H_0(C) \times M_0(C)$ is an $\text{Aut}([T_n; \{T_v^n\}])$ -cofibration. Consequently, $L_C(t) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}(C)$ is a Σ -cofibration and the natural transformation $t : \mathbb{F}^- \Rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ is a cofibration in the Reedy model category $\text{Func}(\mathcal{N}(\Phi_k^p[I]); \text{Seq})$. $\square$

4 $\mathcal{SC}_1$ -algebra arising from a map of two-coloured operads

In the previous section, we identify loop spaces from maps between operads, thus proving that the second delooping introduced by Dwyer-Hess [9] and Turchin [25] can be extended to any operads. In what follows, we show that there is a similar generalization in the relative case by using the language of coloured operads with set of colours $S = \{o; c\}$. More precisely, for $\eta_1 : O \rightarrow O'$ an operadic map and $\eta_2 : O' \rightarrow M$ a bimodule map over O' , we prove that the pair of spaces $(\text{Bimod}_O^h(O; O'); \text{Bimod}_O^h(O; M))$ is weakly equivalent to an explicit $\mathcal{SC}_1$ -algebra. For this purpose, we consider an adjunction between the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules and a subcategory of two-coloured operads described below.

Notation 4.1. Let O be an $\{o; c\}$ -operad. We denote by O_c and O_o the operads coming from the restriction to the colour c and o respectively. In other words, O_c and O_o are defined as follows:

$$O_c(n) = O(\underbrace{c, \dots, c}_n; c) \text{ for } n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad O_o(n) = O(\underbrace{o, \dots, o}_n; o) \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

Conversely, from two operads P and Q , we build the $\{o; c\}$ -operad $P \oplus Q$ given by

$$P \oplus Q(\underbrace{c, \dots, c}_n; c) = Q(n) \text{ for } n \geq 0, \quad P \oplus Q(\underbrace{o, \dots, o}_n; o) = P(n) \text{ for } n \geq 0,$$

and the empty set otherwise. Consequently, a map of two-coloured operads $f : P \oplus Q \rightarrow O$ is equivalent to a pair of operadic maps $f_c : Q \rightarrow O_c$ and $f_o : P \rightarrow O_o$.

Definition 4.2. Let P and Q be two operads. We consider the category of $\{o; c\}$ -operads under $P \oplus Q$

$$\text{Op}[P; Q] := (P \oplus Q) \downarrow \text{Operad}_{\{o; c\}}.$$

An object $(O; \tau_O)$ is given by an $\{o; c\}$ -operad O together with an $\{o; c\}$ -operadic map $\tau_O : P \oplus Q \rightarrow O$. A morphism $f : (O; \tau_O) \rightarrow (O'; \tau_{O'})$ is an $\{o; c\}$ -operadic map $f : O \rightarrow O'$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & P \oplus Q & \\ \tau_O \swarrow & & \searrow \tau_{O'} \\ O & \xrightarrow{f} & O' \end{array}$$

There is an obvious functor from the category of operads under $P \oplus Q$ to the category of $(P-Q)$ bimodules. Given $(O; \tau_O) \in \text{Op}[P; Q]$, the sequence $\mathcal{R}(O; \tau_O)$ (also denoted by $\mathcal{R}(O)$ if there is no ambiguity on the maps τ_O) is defined as follows:

$$\mathcal{R}(O)(n) := O(\underbrace{c, \dots, c}_n; o) \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

By definition, $\mathcal{R}(O)$ is endowed with an $(O_o - O_c)$ bimodule structure in which the map $\gamma : O_o(0) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(O)(0)$ is the identity map. Due to the $\{o; c\}$ -operadic map τ_O , $\mathcal{R}(O)$ is also a $(P-Q)$ bimodule. Thus, one has a functors

$$\mathcal{R} : \text{Op}[P; Q] \longrightarrow \text{Bimod}_{P-Q}.$$

In Section 4.1, we give a presentation of the left adjoint $\mathcal{L}$ to the functor $\mathcal{R}$. We also prove that the pair of functors so obtained is a Quillen adjunction. As a consequence, Construction 2.9 gives rise cofibrant replacements in the category $\text{Op}[P; Q]$ in the particular case $P = Q = O$. Then, in Section 4.2, we modify slightly Construction 2.9 in order to get cofibrant replacements in the category $\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]$ in which $\emptyset$ is the initial object in the category of operads. Section 4.3 is devoted to the proof of the main theorem which is the following one:

Theorem 4.3. Let O be a well pointed operad and $\eta : \mathcal{L}(O) \rightarrow O'$ be a map in the category $\text{Op}[O; O]$. If O is Σ -cofibrant, then the following weak equivalence holds:

$$\text{Bimod}_O^h(O; \mathcal{R}(O')) \simeq \left(\text{Operad}^h(O; O'_c); \text{Op}[O; \emptyset]^h(\mathcal{L}(O); O') \right). \quad (35)$$

Proof. It is a direct consequence of Theorem 4.10 together with Propositions 4.15, 4.17 and 4.18. $\square$

In the last section, we give application of the above theorem. In particular, we introduce truncated version of the relative delooping, we identify $\mathcal{SC}_1$ -algebras from Theorem 3.1 and we also identify algebras over $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ by using the Dwyer-Hess' conjecture.

4.1 The left adjoint to the functors $\mathcal{R}$ and $\mathcal{R}_k$

Let M be an $\{o; c\}$ -sequence and I_c, I_o be a partition of the set $\{1, \dots, n\}$. In order to simplify the notation, we denote by $M(I_c, I_o; s_{n+1})$ the space

$$M(s_1, \dots, s_n; s_{n+1}) \quad \text{in which} \quad \begin{cases} s_i = c & \text{if } i \in I_c, \\ s_i = o & \text{if } i \in I_o. \end{cases}$$

Construction 4.4. Let P and Q be two operads. From a $(P; Q)$ bimodule M , we build the $\{o; c\}$ -operad $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(c, \dots, c; c) = Q(n) \text{ for } n \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(c, \dots, c; o) = M(n) \text{ for } n \geq 0.$$

In order to describe the spaces $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(I_c, I_o; o)$, with $|I_c| \geq 0$ and $|I_o| \geq 1$, we introduce the set $\Psi(I_c; I_o)$ formed by $\{o; c\}$ -trees whose trunk has the colour o , the leaves indexed by the set I_c have colour c and the leaves indexed by the set I_o have colour o . Moreover, the elements in $\Psi(I_c; I_o)$ are two-levels trees (i.e each path connecting a leaf to the trunk passes by at most two vertices) and satisfy the following conditions:

- the incoming edges of the vertices distinct from the root are indexed by c ,
- the incoming edges of the root are indexed by the colour o ,
- the root has at least one incoming leaf.

To define the $\{o; c\}$ -sequence $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)$, we label the root by a point in the operad P whereas the other vertices are labelled by points in the bimodule M . In other words, one has

$$\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(I_c, I_o; o) := \coprod_{T \in \Psi(I_c, I_o)} \left[P(|r|) \times \prod_{v \neq r} M(|v|) \right] \Bigg/ \sim. \quad (36)$$

The equivalence relation $\sim$ is generated by the axioms (ii) and (iii) of Construction 2.5 as well as the relation defined as follows: if a vertex of a tree $T \in \Psi(I_c, I_o)$ other than the root is labelled by a point on the form $a(m_1, \dots, m_n)$, with $a \in P$ and $m_i \in M$, then




Figure 22: Illustration of the additional relation.

By convention, a point $a \in \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(c, \dots, c; c)$ is interpreted as a corolla whose edges are coloured by c and the vertex is labelled by $a \in Q(n)$. Similarly, a point $a \in \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(c, \dots, c; o)$ is interpreted as a corolla whose leaves have colour c , the trunk has colour o and the vertex is labelled by $a \in P(n)$. We denote by $[T; \{a_v\}]$ a point in $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)$.

From now on, we describe the $(P; Q)$ bimodule structure of $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)$. Let $[T; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(I_c, I_o; o)$ and a be a point in $Q(n) = \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(c, \dots, c; c)$. The operadic composition $[T; \{a_v\}] \circ_i a$, with $i \in I_c$, consists in grafting the corolla labelled by a to the i -th incoming edge of T and contracting the inner edge so obtained by using the right Q -module structure of M .




Figure 23: Illustration of the operadic composition.

Let $[T; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(I_c, I_o; o)$ and $[T'; \{a'_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(I'_c, I'_o; o)$. The operadic composition $[T; \{a_v\}] \circ_i [T'; \{a'_v\}]$, with $i \in I_o$, consists in grafting T' to the i -th incoming edge of T and contracting the inner edges connecting two vertices indexed by P by using its operadic structure. If all the incoming edges of the new root are inner edges, then we contract all of them by using the left P -module structure of M .




Figure 24: Illustration of the operadic composition.

By construction, $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)$ is an $\{o; c\}$ -operad, denoted by $\mathcal{L}(M)$ if there is no ambiguity about the operads P and Q . Furthermore, there is an $\{o; c\}$ -operadic map,

$$\tau_M : P \oplus Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q),$$

induced by the identity map $(\tau_o)_c : Q \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)_c = Q$. The morphism $(\tau_o)_o : P \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)_o$ assigns a point $a \in P(0)$ to $\gamma_o(a) \in M(0)$ and a point $a \in P(n)$, with $n > 0$, to the corolla labelled by a . Consequently, the pair $(\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q); \tau_M)$ is an object in the category $Op[P; Q]$. Finally, one has a functor

$$\mathcal{L}(-; P; Q) : Bimod_{P, Q} \longrightarrow Op[P; Q].$$

Remark 4.5. This construction is functorial with respect to the operads P and Q . Let $f_p : P \rightarrow P'$ and $f_q : Q \rightarrow Q'$ be two operadic maps and $f_m : M \rightarrow M'$ be a map from a (P, Q) bimodule M to a (P', Q') bimodule M' such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} P(n) \times M(m_1) \times \cdots \times M(m_n) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_l} & M(m_1 + \cdots + m_n) \\ \downarrow f_p \times f_m \times \cdots \times f_m & & \downarrow f_m \\ P'(n) \times M'(m_1) \times \cdots \times M'(m_n) & \xrightarrow{\gamma_l} & M'(m_1 + \cdots + m_n) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} M(n) \times Q(m) & \xrightarrow{o^i} & M(n + m - 1) \\ \downarrow f_m \times f_q & & \downarrow f_m \\ M'(n) \times Q'(m) & \xrightarrow{o^i} & M'(n + m - 1) \end{array} \quad (37)$$

Then, there is a map of $\{o; c\}$ -operads

$$\begin{array}{ccc} f : \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}(M'; P'; Q'); \\ [T; \{a_v\}] & \longmapsto & [T; \{a'_v\}], \end{array} \quad \text{with} \quad a'_v = \begin{cases} f_p(a_v) & \text{if } a_v \in P, \\ f_m(a_v) & \text{if } a_v \in M, \\ f_q(a_v) & \text{if } a_v \in Q. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 4.6. The pair of functors $(\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{R})$ form an adjoint pair.

Proof. Let $(O; \tau_o)$ be an object in the category $Op[P; Q]$ and let $f : M \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(O)$ be a (P, Q) bimodule map. If the map of $\{o; c\}$ -operads $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q) \rightarrow O$ extends f and satisfy the relation $\tilde{f} \circ \tau_M = \tau_o$, then the following conditions hold:

- i) $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)_c(n) = Q(n) \rightarrow O_c(n)$ coincides with the map $\tau_o : Q(n) \rightarrow O_c(n)$,
- ii) the restriction of $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)_o(n) \rightarrow O_o(n)$ to $P(n)$ coincides with $\tau_o : P(n) \rightarrow O_o(n)$,
- iii) $\tilde{f} : \mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(c, \dots, c; o) = M(n) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(O)(n)$ coincides with the map f .

We define the map $\tilde{f}$ on the spaces $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(I_c, I_o; o)$ by induction on the number of vertices of the trees $T \in \Psi(I_c, I_o)$. Let $[T; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(I_c, I_o; o)$. If T has only one vertex, then $|I_c| = 0$ or $|I_o| = 0$ since all adjacent edges (i.e edges with the same target vertex) have the same colour. In these cases, the map $\tilde{f}$ is defined by the conditions (ii) and (iii) above.

Assume the map $\tilde{f}$ has been defined for the trees having at most $k \geq 1$ vertices. Let $[T; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{L}(M; P; Q)(I_c, I_o; o)$ where T has $k + 1$ vertices. By construction, $[T; \{a_v\}]$ has a decomposition on the form

$[T_1; \{a_v\} \setminus \{a_0\}] \circ_i [T_2; a_0]$ where T_2 is the corolla whose leaves have colour c and the vertex is labelled by $a_0 \in M$. Since $\tilde{f}$ has to preserve the operadic structure, then

$$\tilde{f}([T; \{a_v\}]) = \tilde{f}([T_1; \{a_v\} \setminus \{a_0\}]) \circ_i f(a_0),$$

where T_1 is a tree with k vertices. Due to the operadic and the bimodule axioms, the map $\tilde{f}$ doesn't depend on the choice of the decomposition and the uniqueness follows from the construction. $\square$

The categories $Bimod_{P,Q}$ has a cofibrantly generated model structure whereas $Op[P; Q]$ inherit a model category structure from the category of coloured operads. A map $f : (O; \tau_o) \rightarrow (O'; \tau_{o'})$ is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration or cofibration) if the operadic map $f : O \rightarrow O'$ is a weak equivalence (resp. fibration or cofibration). In both cases, every object is fibrant. The following proposition claims that the adjunction has good properties with respect to the model category structures.

Proposition 4.7. *The pair $(\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{R})$ is a Quillen adjunction.*

Proof. It is sufficient to show that $\mathcal{R}$ preserves fibrations and acyclic fibrations. Let $f : (O; \tau_o) \rightarrow (O'; \tau_{o'})$ be a fibration in the category $Op[P; Q]$, that is, $f : O \rightarrow O'$ is a fibration in the category of $\{o; c\}$ -operads. We recall that the model category structure on $Operad_{\{o; c\}}$ is obtained from the adjunction

$$\mathcal{F} : Seq(\{o; c\}) \rightleftarrows Operad_{\{o; c\}} : \mathcal{U},$$

where $\mathcal{U}$ is the forgetful functor and $\mathcal{F}$ is the free operad functor from pointed $\{o; c\}$ -sequences (see [2] or [8] for more details). As a consequence, the operad map $f : O \rightarrow O'$ is a fibration if and only if $\mathcal{U}(f)$ is a fibration. In particular, the map $f_M := \{\mathcal{R}(O)(n) = O(c, \dots, c; o) \rightarrow \mathcal{R}(O')(n) = O'(c, \dots, c; o)\}$ is a fibration in the category of sequences. Furthermore, the model category structure on $(P-Q)$ bimodules is obtained from the adjunction

$$\mathcal{F}_B : Seq_{P_0} \rightleftarrows Bimod_{P,Q} : \mathcal{U},$$

where $\mathcal{F}_B$ is the free bimodule functor. So, a map in $Bimod_{P,Q}$ is a fibration if and only if it is a fibration in the category of sequences. Consequently, $\mathcal{R}(f) = f_M$ is a fibration. $\square$

Remark 4.8. Let O be an operad. Contrary to the general case, $\mathcal{L}(O; O; O) = \mathcal{L}(O)$ has a simple description. Since $\gamma : O(0) \rightarrow O(0)$ is the identity map, the axiom (iii) of Construction 2.5 implies that there is no univalent vertices other than the root in $\mathcal{L}(O)$. Furthermore, the O -bimodule O has a distinguished point $*_O \in O(1)$. So, each point $x \in O(n)$, with $n \geq 1$, can be expressed as follows:

$$x = \gamma_l(x; *_O, \dots, *_O). \quad (38)$$

As a consequence of the relation illustrated in Figure 22, $\mathcal{L}(O)$ is the two-coloured operad given by

$$\mathcal{L}(O)(I_c, I_o; o) \cong \mathcal{L}(O)(|I_c| + |I_o|; c) \cong O(|I_c| + |I_o|).$$

Lemma 4.9. *Let O be an operad. Let $\iota' : O \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(O)$ be the map of sequences sending $x \in O(n)$ to $[T; 1; \{a_v\}]$ where T has a root indexed by the pair $(x; 1)$ whereas the other vertices are bivalent pearl labelled by $*_O \in O(1)$. Then, the map ι' is a deformation retract and we denote by μ' the homotopy inverse.*

Proof. We start by bringing the parameters above the section to 0. By using the identification (38), we deduce that $\mathcal{B}(O)$ is homotopy equivalent to the sub-sequence formed by points without vertices above the section and such that the pearls are labelled by $*_O \in O(1)$. Thereafter, we bring the parameters below the section to 1. $\square$




Figure 25: Illustration of the homotopy.

Theorem 4.10. Let O be a well pointed operad and O' be an object in the category $Op[O; O]$. If O is Σ -cofibrant, then $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O)$ is cofibrant replacement of $\mathcal{L}(O; O; O)$ in the category $Op[O; O]$. Furthermore, the following weak equivalence holds:

$$Bimod_O^h(O; \mathcal{R}(O')) \simeq Op[O; O]^h(\mathcal{L}(O; O; O); O'). \quad (39)$$

Proof. Theorem 2.11 implies that $\mathcal{B}(O)$ is a cofibrant replacement of O in the category $Bimod_O$. Moreover, $\mathcal{L}$ is a left adjoint functor in a Quillen adjunction, hence $\mathcal{L}$ preserves cofibrations and cofibrant objects. As a consequence, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O)$ is cofibrant in the category $Op[O; O]$. Moreover, a point $[T; \{a_v\}]$ in $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O)(I_c, I_o; o)$ is given by a tree $T \in \Psi(I_c; I_o)$ such that $a_r \in O(|r|)$ and $a_v \in \mathcal{B}(O)(|v|)$ for $v \neq r$. So, the map μ' introduced in Lemma 4.9 together with axiom (iii) of Construction 2.5 induce a weak equivalence of $\{o; c\}$ -operads

$$\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(O; O; O).$$

Finally, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O)$ is a cofibrant replacement of $\mathcal{L}(O; O; O)$ in $Op[O; O]$. Since every object is fibrant in the categories considered, there are the following weak equivalences:

$$\begin{aligned} Bimod_O^h(O; \mathcal{R}(O')) &\simeq Bimod_O(\mathcal{B}(O); \mathcal{R}(O')), \\ Op[O; O]^h(\mathcal{L}(O; O; O); O') &\simeq Op[O; O](\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O); O'). \end{aligned}$$

The weak equivalence (39) arises from the adjunction $(\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{R})$ which induces a homeomorphism (see [20])

$$Bimod_O(\mathcal{B}(O); \mathcal{R}(O')) \cong Op[O; O](\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O); O'). \quad \square$$

4.2 Cofibrant replacement in the category $Op[O; \emptyset]$

The category $Op[O; \emptyset]$ is a special case of Definition 4.2. The objects are pairs $(O'; f_o)$ in which O' is an $\{o; c\}$ -operad and $f_o : O \rightarrow O'_o$ is a map of operads. Consequently, the objects $(O'; \tau_{O'})$ in $Op[O; O]$ can be seen in the category $Op[O; \emptyset]$ by taking the restriction $(\tau_{O'})_o : O \rightarrow O'_o$. In particular $\mathcal{L}(O; O; O)$ and $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O)$ are objects in $Op[O; \emptyset]$. However, $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O)$ is not necessarily cofibrant in $Op[O; \emptyset]$ since O is not necessarily cofibrant as an operad. To solve this issue, we change slightly Construction 2.9 by using the Boardman-Vogt resolution introduced in Section 3.1.

Construction 4.11. Let P and Q be two operads. From a $(P-Q)$ bimodule M , we build the $(P-\mathcal{BV}(Q))$ bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0(M)$. The points are equivalence classes $[T; \{t_u\}; \{a_v\}]$ in which $T \in \mathbf{stree}$ and $\{a_v\}$ is a family of points labelling the vertices in the same way as in Construction 2.9. The family $\{t_u\}$ of real numbers in the interval $[0, 1]$ indexes the vertices below the section and the inner edges above the section, with the condition $t_{s(e)} \leq t_{t(e)}$ for e an inner edge below the section. In other words, $\mathcal{B}_0(M)$ is the quotient of the sub-sequence

$$\coprod_{T \in \mathbf{stree}} \prod_{v \in V^d(T)} [P(|v|) \times I] \times \prod_{v \in V^p(T)} M(|v|) \times \prod_{v \in V^u(T)} [Q(|v|) \times I] \Big/ \sim,$$

coming from the restriction on the families $\{t_u\}$. The equivalence relation is generated by axioms (ii), (iii) (iv.b) and (v.b) of Construction 2.9 as well as the following relations:

i') If a vertex is labelled by a distinguished point $*_P \in P(1)$ or $*_Q \in Q(1)$, then

$$\begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ (*_P, t) \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ (*_Q, t) \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{c} t_1 \\ | \\ \bullet \\ | \\ t_2 \end{array} \sim \begin{array}{c} \bullet \\ | \\ \max(t_1, t_2) \end{array}$$

v) If an inner edge above the section indexed by 0, then we contract it using the operadic structure of Q or the right Q -bimodule structure of M :




Figure 26: Illustration of the relation (v).

The left P -bimodule structure on $\mathcal{B}_0(M)$ is similar to Construction 2.9. Let $[T; \{t_u\}; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{B}_0(M)(n)$ and $[T; \{t_e\}; \{a_v\}]$ be a point in $\mathcal{BV}(Q)(m)$. The composition $[T; \{t_u\}; \{a_v\}] \circ^i [T; \{t_e\}; \{a_v\}]$ consists in grafting T' to the i -th incoming edge of T' and indexing the new inner edge by 1.

From now on, we introduce a filtration of the resolution $\mathcal{B}_0(M)$. Similarly to the bimodule case (see Section 2.3), a point in $\mathcal{B}_0(M)$ is said to be prime if the real numbers indexing the set of inner edges above the section and the vertices below the section are strictly smaller than 1. Besides, a point is said to be composite if one of its parameters is 1 and such a point can be decomposed into prime components. A prime point is in the k -th filtration term $\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k$ if the number of its geometrical inputs (which is the number of leaves plus the number of univalent vertices above the section) is smaller than k . Then, a composite point is in the k -th filtration term if its prime components are in $\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k$. For each $k \geq 0$, $\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k$ is a $(P\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(Q))$ bimodule and the family $\{\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k\}$ gives rise a filtration of $\mathcal{B}_0(M)$,

$$P_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M)_0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M)_1 \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M)_{k-1} \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M)_k \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M). \quad (40)$$

Lemma 4.12. *Let P and Q be two well pointed operads. Let M be a $(P\text{-}Q)$ bimodule such that the map $\gamma : P(0) \rightarrow M(0)$ is a cofibration. If P , Q and M are Σ -cofibrant, then $\mathcal{B}_0(M)$ and $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k)$ are cofibrant replacements of M and $T_k(M)$ in the category of $\text{Bimod}_{P\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(Q)}$ and $T_k\text{Bimod}_{P\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(Q)}$ respectively.*

Proof. The proof is similar to the one of Theorem 2.11. In order to fix the notation and to introduce the tower of fibrations associated to the space $\text{Bimod}_{P\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(Q)}(\mathcal{B}_0(M); M')$, we show that the filtration (40) is composed of cofibrations. For this purpose, we consider another filtration according to the number of vertices. We recall that $\text{stree}[k; l]$ is the set trees with section having exactly k geometrical inputs and l vertices. Then, the sequence $W_k[l]$ is the quotient of the sub-sequence

$$\coprod_{T \in \text{stree}[k; l]} \prod_{v \in V^d(T)} [P(|v|) \times I] \times \prod_{v \in V^p(T)} M(|v|) \times \prod_{v \in V^u(T)} [Q(|v|) \times I] \Big/ \sim, \quad (41)$$

coming from the restriction on the real numbers indexing the vertices below the section. The equivalent relation is generated by the compatibility with the symmetric group axioms of Construction 2.9. The sequence $\partial W_k[l]$ is formed by points in $W_k[l]$ satisfying one of the following conditions:

- there is a vertex below the section indexed by 0 or 1,
- there are two consecutive vertices below the section indexed by the same real number,
- there is an inner edge above the section indexed by 0 or 1,
- there is a univalent pearl labelled by a point on the form $\gamma(x)$ with $x \in P(0)$,
- there is a bivalent vertex labelled by a distinguished point $*_P \in P(1)$ or $*_Q \in Q(1)$.

For $(k; l) \neq (0; 0)$, the sequences $W_k[l]$ and $\partial W_k[l]$ are not objects in the category Seq_{P_0} . So, we denote by $\tilde{W}_k[l]$ and $\partial \tilde{W}_k[l]$ the sequences obtained as follows:

$$\tilde{W}_k[l](n) := \begin{cases} W_k[l](0) \sqcup P(0) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ W_k[l](n) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial \tilde{W}_k[l](n) := \begin{cases} \partial W_k[l](0) \sqcup P(0) & \text{if } n = 0, \\ \partial W_k[l](n) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then, we consider the following pushout diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_B(P_0) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_B(\tilde{W}_0[1]) \\ \parallel & & \parallel \\ P_0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_0(M)_0[1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}_B(\partial \tilde{W}_k[l]) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}_B(\tilde{W}_k[l]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{B}_0(M)_k[l-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{B}_0(M)_k[l] \end{array}$$

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.11, we can show that the inclusion from $\partial W_k[l]$ to $W_k[l]$ is a Σ -cofibration. Since the pushout diagrams preserve the cofibrations, the map $\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k[l-1] \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M)_k[l]$ is a cofibration in the category of $(P\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(Q))$ bimodules. Furthermore, the limit of the sequences $\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k[l]$ is $\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k$. Thus, the inclusion $\mathcal{B}_0(M)_{k-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(M)_k$ is a cofibration in the category of $(P\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(Q))$ bimodules. As a consequence, for $(k; l) \neq (0; 0)$, the vertical maps of the the following pullback diagrams are fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Bimod}_{P\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(Q)}(\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k[l]; M') & \longrightarrow & \text{Seq}(W_k[l]; O') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Bimod}_{P\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(Q)}(\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k[l-1]; M') & \longrightarrow & \text{Seq}(\partial W_k[l]; O') \end{array}$$

Furthermore, if $g \in \text{Bimod}_{P, \mathcal{BV}(Q)}(\mathcal{B}_0(M)_k[l-1]; M')$, then the fiber over g is homeomorphic to the mapping space from $W_k[l]$ to M' such that the restriction to $\partial W_k[l]$ coincides with the map induced by g :

$$\text{Seq}^s((W_k[l], \partial W_k[l]); M'). \quad (42)$$

□

Notation 4.13. Let O be an operad. We denote by $\mathcal{BV}_0(O)$ the $\{o; c\}$ -sequence

$$\mathcal{BV}_0(O) := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_0(O); O; \mathcal{BV}(O)).$$

Lemma 4.14. Let O be an operad. Let $\iota'' : O \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(O)$ be the map of sequences sending $x \in O(n)$ to $[T; 1; \{a_v\}]$ where T has a root indexed by the pair $(x; 1)$ whereas the other vertices are bivalent pearl labelled by $*_O \in O(1)$. Then, the map ι'' is a deformation retract and we denote by μ'' the homotopy inverse.

Proof. The proof is similar to the one's of Lemma 4.9. □

Proposition 4.15. Let O be a well pointed operad. If O is Σ -cofibrant, then $\mathcal{BV}_0(O)$ is a cofibrant replacement of $\mathcal{L}(O; O; O)$ in the category $\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]$.

Proof. From Lemma 4.12, $\mathcal{B}_0(O)$ is a cofibrant replacement of O in the category of $(O\text{-}\mathcal{BV}(O))$ bimodules. Since the functor $\mathcal{L}$ preserves cofibrant objects, $\mathcal{BV}_0(O)$ is cofibrant in the category $\text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)]$. Consequently, $\mathcal{BV}_0(O)$ is also cofibrant in $\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]$ because the following maps are cofibrations:

$$O \oplus \emptyset \longrightarrow O \oplus \mathcal{BV}(O) \longrightarrow \mathcal{BV}_0(O).$$

A point in $\mathcal{BV}_0(O)$ is given by a tree in Ψ vertices of which are indexed by points in $\mathcal{BV}(O)$, $\mathcal{B}_0(O)$ or O . So, the homotopy retracts μ'' (introduced in Lemma 4.14) and $\mu : \mathcal{BV}(O) \rightarrow O$ (see (25)) induce a weak equivalence from $\mathcal{BV}_0(O)$ to $\mathcal{L}(O; O; O)$. □

4.3 Relative delooping between mapping spaces of operad and bimodule

As shown in the previous section, the $\{o; c\}$ -sequence $\mathcal{BV}_0(O)$ is an object in the category $\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]$. Its restriction to the colour c coincides with the operad $\mathcal{BV}(O)$. Hence, one has a continuous map coming from the restriction to the colour c ,

$$h : \text{Op}[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O') \longrightarrow \text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}(O); O'). \quad (43)$$

A model for the relative loop spaces (35) is given by the homotopy fiber of the maps (43) over the composite $(\tau_{O'})_c \circ \mu : \mathcal{BV}(O) \rightarrow O'$. In the following definition, we give an explicit description of the homotopy fiber.

Definition 4.16. A point in the homotopy fiber of (43) over $(\tau_{O'})_c \circ \mu$ is a family of continuous maps:

$$\begin{aligned} f[n; c] & : \mathcal{BV}(O)(n) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow O'(c, \dots, c; c), \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, \\ f[I_c, I_o; o] & : \mathcal{BV}_0(O)(I_c, I_o; o) \times \{1\} \longrightarrow O'(I_c, I_o; o), \quad \text{for } |I_c| \geq 0 \text{ and } |I_o| \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

satisfying relations coming from the operadic structure:

- $f[n + m - 1; c](x \circ_i y; t) = f[n; c](x; t) \circ_i f[m; c](y; t)$, for $x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)(n)$, and $y \in \mathcal{BV}(O)(m)$,
- $f[I'_c, I'_o; o](x \circ_i y; 1) = f[I_c, I_o; o](x; 1) \circ_i f[I'_c, I'_o; o](y; 1)$, for $x \in \mathcal{BV}_0(O)(I_c, I_o; o)$ and $y \in \mathcal{BV}_0(O)(I'_c, I'_o; o)$,
- $f[I'_c, I'_o; o](x \circ_i y; 1) = f[I_c, I_o; o](x; 1) \circ_i f[I'_c, \emptyset; c](y; 1)$, for $x \in \mathcal{BV}_0(O)(I_c, I_o; o)$ and $y \in \mathcal{BV}_0(O)(I'_c, \emptyset; c)$,

and relations coming from the based point:

- $f[n; c](x; 0) = (\tau_{O'})_c \circ \mu(x)$, for $x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)(n)$.

In order to prove Theorem 4.3, we use a method introduced by the author in [8] for the cosimplicial case. We consider the topological space $\text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O')$ as an intermediate space between the relative loop space and $\text{Op}[O; O]^h(\mathcal{L}(O); O')$. More precisely, $\text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O')$ is the subspace of $\text{Op}[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O')$ formed by maps f satisfying the relation:

$$f(x) = (\tau_{O'})_c \circ \mu(x) \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{BV}(O). \quad (44)$$

Proposition 4.17. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3, the following weak equivalence holds:*

$$Op[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O') \simeq Op[O; O](\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}(O); O; O); O').$$

Proof. Let us notice that this proposition is inspired by constructions introduced by Fresse in [10, Chapter 7] and the author in [8, Proposition 4.4]. From Corollary 4.10, to obtain the result it is sufficient to build a weak equivalence

$$\xi : Bimod_O(\mathcal{B}(O); \mathcal{R}(O')) \longrightarrow Bimod_{O-\mathcal{BV}(O)}(\mathcal{B}_0(O); \mathcal{R}(O')). \quad (45)$$

Firstly, we build a set map $i : \mathcal{B}(O) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(O)$ sending a point $[T; \{t_v\}; \{a_v\}]$ to $[T; \{t'_u\}; \{a_v\}]$ where the tree with section, the indexation of vertices below the section and the family $\{a_v\}$ labelling the vertices are still the same. If e is an inner edge above the section, then this edge is indexed by the real number $t'_e \in [0, 1]$ defined as follows:

$$t'_e = \begin{cases} (t_{t(e)} - t_{s(e)}) / (t_{t(e)} - 1) & \text{if } t_{t(e)} < 1, \\ 1 & \text{if } t_{t(e)} = 1. \end{cases}$$

By convention, we assume that the pearls are indexed by 0. The function i so obtained doesn't depend on the choice of the point in the equivalence class. Unfortunately, the function i is not a continuous map. Indeed, if e is an inner edge connecting two vertices in the set $V^u(T)$, then t'_e is not well defined as $t_{t(e)}$ approaches 1.




Figure 27: Illustration of the map $i : \mathcal{B}(O) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(O)$.

To solve this issue, we introduce an equivalence relation on the sequence $\mathcal{B}_0(O)$. Let $\sim$ be the equivalence relation generated by $x \sim x'$ if and only if there exist $[T; \{t_e^1\}; \{a_v\}]$, $[T; \{t_e^2\}; \{a_v\}] \in \mathcal{BV}(O)$ and $y \in \mathcal{B}_0(O)$ such that:

$$x = y \circ^i [T; \{t_e^1\}; \{a_v\}] \quad \text{and} \quad x' = y \circ^i [T; \{t_e^2\}; \{a_v\}]. \quad (46)$$

We denote by $\mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim(n)$ the quotient space $\mathcal{B}_0(O)(n)/\sim$. The $(O-\mathcal{BV}(O))$ bimodule structure on $\mathcal{B}_0(O)$ induces a O -bimodule structure on the sequence $\mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim$:

- $\circ^i : \mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim(n) \times O(m) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim(n+m-1);$
 $(x; y) \longmapsto x \circ^i y,$
- $\gamma_! : O(n) \times \mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim(m_1) \times \cdots \times \mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim(m_n) \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim(m_1 + \cdots + m_n);$
 $(x, y_1, \dots, y_n) \longmapsto \gamma_!(x; y_1, \dots, y_n).$

The O -bimodule axioms are satisfied thanks to the equivalence relation. The left $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ -bimodule structure on O' arises from the map of operads $\mu : \mathcal{BV}(O) \rightarrow O$ (see (25)). As a consequence, each bimodule map $f \in Bimod_{O-\mathcal{BV}(O)}(\mathcal{B}_0(O); \mathcal{R}(O'))$ preserves equivalence classes and induces a map $\tilde{f} \in Bimod_O(\mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim; \mathcal{R}(O'))$. By using the universal property of the quotient, one has a continuous bijection

$$\xi_1 : Bimod_O(\mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim; \mathcal{R}(O')) \longrightarrow Bimod_{O-\mathcal{BV}(O)}(\mathcal{B}_0(O); \mathcal{R}(O')).$$

Let e be an inner edge above the section connecting two vertices in $V^u(T)$. If $t_{t(e)}$ is equal to 1, then all the parameters t'_e are identified in the quotient space. So, the function $i : \mathcal{B}(O) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim$ is a continuous map. By construction, the map i is a homeomorphism and preserves the O -bimodule structures. Consequently, we obtain the following homeomorphism coming from the composition with i :

$$\xi_2 : Bimod_O(\mathcal{B}_0(O)/\sim; \mathcal{R}(O')) \longrightarrow Bimod_O(\mathcal{B}(O); \mathcal{R}(O')).$$

It is not obvious that ξ_1 is a homeomorphism since our cofibrant replacements are not necessarily finite CW-complexes in each arity. So, we will prove that $\xi = \xi_1 \circ \xi_2^{-1}$ is a weak equivalence by using towers of fibrations associated to the filtrations (12) and (40). Indeed, ξ induces a morphism between the following towers of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Bimod}_O(\mathcal{B}_0(O)[1]; \mathcal{R}(O')) & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Bimod}_O(\mathcal{B}_k(O)[l]; \mathcal{R}(O')) & \longleftarrow & \cdots \\ \downarrow \xi^{0;1} & & & & \downarrow \xi^{k;l} & & \\ \text{Bimod}_{O-\mathcal{BV}(O)}(\mathcal{B}_0(O)_0[1]; \mathcal{R}(O')) & \longleftarrow & \cdots & \longleftarrow & \text{Bimod}_{O-\mathcal{BV}(O)}(\mathcal{B}_0(O)_k[l]; \mathcal{R}(O')) & \longleftarrow & \cdots \end{array}$$

Consequently, ξ is a weak equivalence if the maps $\xi^{k;l}$ are weak equivalences. Since the points in $\mathcal{B}_0(O)[1]$ and $\mathcal{B}_0(O)_0[1]$ are indexed by trees with section without vertices above the section, the map $\xi^{0;1}$ is the identity map which is a weak equivalence. Assume that $\xi^{k;l-1}$ is a weak equivalence and g is a point in $\text{Bimod}_O(\mathcal{B}_k(O)[l-1]; \mathcal{R}(O'))$. We consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{Bimod}_O(\mathcal{B}_k(O)[l-1]; \mathcal{R}(O')) & \longleftarrow & \text{Bimod}_O(\mathcal{B}_k(O)[l]; \mathcal{R}(O')) & \longleftarrow & F_1 \\ \downarrow \simeq \xi^{k;l-1} & & \downarrow \xi^{k;l} & & \downarrow \xi^g \\ \text{Bimod}_{O-\mathcal{BV}(O)}(\mathcal{B}_0(O)_k[l-1]; \mathcal{R}(O')) & \longleftarrow & \text{Bimod}_{O-\mathcal{BV}(O)}(\mathcal{B}_0(O)_k[l]; \mathcal{R}(O')) & \longleftarrow & F_2 \end{array}$$

where F_1 is the fiber over g and F_2 is the fiber over $\xi^{k;l-1}(g)$. Since the left horizontal maps are fibrations, $\xi^{k;l}$ is a weak equivalence if the map between the fiber is a weak equivalence. By using the identifications (21) and (42), one has

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_1 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \text{Seq}^g((X_k[l], \partial X_k[l]); \mathcal{R}(O')) \\ \downarrow \xi^g & & \uparrow \xi_2^* \cong \\ & \text{Seq}^{\tilde{g}}((W_k[l]/\sim, \partial W_k[l]/\sim); \mathcal{R}(O')) & \\ & \downarrow \xi_1^* & \\ F_2 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & \text{Seq}^{\xi^{k;l-1}(g)}((W_k[l], \partial W_k[l]); \mathcal{R}(O')) \end{array}$$

where $\sim$ is the equivalence relation generated by (46). Since we are in the fiber over $\xi^{k;l-1}(g)$ the induced map $\tilde{g}$ is well defined. In the same way as $X_k[l]$ in the proof of Theorem 2.11, $W_k[l]$ can also be expressed as follows:

$$W_k[l] := \coprod_{[T]; \mathcal{VP}(T)} (H'(T) \times \underline{M}(T)) \times_{\text{Aut}(T; \mathcal{VP}(T))} \Sigma_{|T|},$$

where $H'(T)$ is the space of parametrization of the vertices below the section and the inner edges above the section of T by real numbers satisfying the restriction introduced in Construction 4.11. Thus, the quotient map $W_k[l] \rightarrow W_k[l]/\sim$ is proper since its restriction to $\underline{M}(T)$ is the identity map, $H'(T)$ is a finite CW-complex and $\text{stree}^p[\mathbf{k}; l]$ is a finite set. In that case, ξ_1^* is a homeomorphism and $\xi^{k;l}$ is a weak equivalence. $\square$

Proposition 4.18. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 4.3, the following weak equivalence holds:*

$$\text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O') \simeq \Omega \left(\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}(O); O'_c); \text{Op}[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O') \right).$$

Proof. Let us recall that $\text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O')$ is the subspace of $\text{Op}[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O')$ formed by maps f satisfying the additional condition (44). In particular, the space $\text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O')$ is a subspace of the homotopy fiber (43), described in Definition 4.16, where the inclusion is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \iota : \text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O') &\longrightarrow \Omega \left(\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}(O); O'_c); \text{Op}[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_0(O); O') \right); \\ f &\longmapsto \begin{cases} \tilde{f}(n; c)(x; t) = (\tau_{O'})_c \circ \mu(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{BV}(O)(n), \\ \tilde{f}(I_c, I_o; o)(x; 1) = f(I_c, I_o; o)(x) & \text{for } x \in \mathcal{BV}_0(O)(I_c, I_o; o). \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let us prove that ι is a weak equivalence. As shown in the previous sections, the operad $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ and the bimodule $\mathcal{B}_0(O)$ have a filtration according to the number of geometrical inputs and the number of vertices.

So, we will define a similar filtration for the operad $\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)$ in order to build towers of fibrations. For this purpose, we introduce the $\{o; c\}$ -sequences $V_k[I]$ and $\partial V_k[I]$ defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} V_k[I](\emptyset, I_o; o) = O(|I_o|), \text{ if } |I_o| \geq 1, \\ V_k[I](I_c, \emptyset; o) = W'_k[I](|I_c|), \\ V_k[I](I_c, \emptyset; c) = Y_k[I](|I_c|), \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \partial V_k[I](\emptyset, I_o; o) = O(|I_o|), \text{ if } |I_o| \geq 1, \\ \partial V_k[I](I_c, \emptyset; o) = \partial W'_k[I](|I_c|), \\ \partial V_k[I](I_c, \emptyset; c) = \partial Y_k[I](|I_c|), \end{cases}$$

where $Y_k[I]$ and $\partial Y_k[I]$ are the sequences introduced in the proof of Lemma 4.12 whereas $W'_k[I]$ and $\partial W'_k[I]$ are the sub-sequences of $W_k[I]$ and $\partial W_k[I]$, introduced in the proof of Theorem 2.11, formed by points indexed by trees with section without univalent pearl other than the root. We consider these sub-sequences because $\mathcal{B}_\emptyset(O)$ doesn't have univalent pearl due to axiom (iii) of Construction 2.9.

For $(k; l) \neq (1; 1)$, the $\{o; c\}$ -sequences $V_k[I]$ and $\partial V_k[I]$ are not pointed. So, we denote by $\tilde{V}_k[I]$ and $\partial \tilde{V}_k[I]$ the $\{o; c\}$ -sequences obtained from $V_k[I]$ and $\partial V_k[I]$ by taking $\tilde{Y}_k[I]$ and $\partial \tilde{Y}_k[I]$ instead of $Y_k[I]$ and $\partial Y_k[I]$ respectively. Then, we consider the following pushout diagrams:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(O \oplus \emptyset) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\tilde{V}_1[1]) \\ \parallel & & \downarrow \\ O \oplus \emptyset & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_1[1] \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{F}(\partial \tilde{V}_k[l]) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{F}(\tilde{V}_k[l]) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l-1] & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l] \end{array}$$

By construction, $\{\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l]\}$ gives rise a filtration of $\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)$ in which $\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l]$ is a two-coloured operad endowed with a map from $O \oplus \mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]$.

Now, we are able to introduce the towers of fibrations showing that the map ι is a weak equivalence. For the space $Op[O; \mathcal{BV}(O)](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O); O')$, the additional relation (44) implies that we have to consider the $\{o; c\}$ -sequence

$$\begin{cases} \partial V_k^1[l](\emptyset, I_o; o) = O(|I_o|) \\ \partial V_k^1[l](I_c, \emptyset; o) = \partial W'_k[l](|I_c|), \\ \partial V_k^1[l](I_c, \emptyset; c) = Y_k[l](|I_c|). \end{cases}$$

Since the inclusion from $\partial V_k^1[l]$ to $V_k[l]$ is a Σ -cofibration, the vertical maps of the following pullback diagram are fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Op[O; \mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l]; O') & \longrightarrow & Seq(V_k[l]; O') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ Op[O; \mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1]](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l-1]; O') & \longrightarrow & Seq(\partial V_k^1[l]; O') \end{array} \quad (47)$$

For the space $\Omega(Operad(\mathcal{BV}(O); O'_c); Op[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O); O'))$, the relations introduced in Definition 4.16 implies that we have to consider the $\{o; c\}$ -sequences

$$\begin{cases} V_k^2[l](\emptyset, I_o; o) = O(|I_o|) \\ V_k^2[l](I_c, \emptyset; o) = W'_k[l](|I_c|), \\ V_k^2[l](I_c, \emptyset; c) = Y_k[l](|I_c|) \times [0, 1], \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} \partial V_k^2[l](\emptyset, I_o; o) = O(|I_o|) \\ \partial V_k^2[l](I_c, \emptyset; o) = \partial W'_k[l](|I_c|), \\ \partial V_k^2[l](I_c, \emptyset; c) = (Y_k[l](|I_c|) \times \{0\}) \coprod_{\partial Y_k[l](|I_c|) \times \{0\}} (\partial Y_k[l](|I_c|) \times [0, 1]). \end{cases}$$

Since the inclusion from $\partial V_k^2[l]$ to $V_k^2[l]$ is a Σ -cofibration, the vertical maps of the following pullback diagram are fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \Omega(Operad(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]; O'_c); Op[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l]; O')) & \longrightarrow & Seq(V_k^2[l]; O') \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \Omega(Operad(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1]; O'_c); Op[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l-1]; O')) & \longrightarrow & Seq(\partial V_k^2[l]; O') \end{array} \quad (48)$$

The map ι induces a morphism between the two towers of fibrations:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Op[O; \mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l]; O') & \xrightarrow{\iota_{k;l}} & \Omega(Operad(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l]; O'_c); Op[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l]; O')) \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \vdots & & \vdots \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 Op[O; \mathcal{BV}_1(O)[1]](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_1[1]; O') & \xrightarrow{\iota_{1;1}} & \Omega(Operad(\mathcal{BV}_1(O)[1]; O'_c); Op[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_1[1]; O'))
 \end{array}$$

Since the vertical maps are fibrations, in order to prove that the map ι is a weak equivalence, it is sufficient to show by induction that the maps $\iota_{k;l}$ are weak equivalences. By using the notation of Definition 4.16, a point in the relative loop space $\Omega(Operad(\mathcal{BV}_1(O)[1]; O'_c); Op[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_1[1]; O'))$ is determined by a family $\{f\}$ of continuous maps

$$f[c; o] : O(1) \times \{1\} \longrightarrow O'(c; o) \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{cases} f[0; c] : O(0) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow O'(c; c), \\ f[1; c] : O(0) \times [0, 1] \longrightarrow O'(1; c), \end{cases}$$

satisfying the relations $f[0; c](x; 0) = \eta(x)$ and $f[1; c](x; 0) = \eta(x)$. On the other hand, the image of $\iota_{1;1}$ is formed by maps satisfying also the conditions $f[0; c](x; t) = \eta(x)$ and $f[1; c](x; t) = \eta(x)$ with $t \in [0, 1]$. So, $\iota_{1;1}$ is a homotopy equivalence and the homotopy H is defined as follows:

$$\begin{cases} H(\{f\}; u)[c; o](x; 1) = f[c; o](x; 1), \\ H(\{f\}; u)[0; c](x; t) = f[0; c](x; (1-u)t), \\ H(\{f\}; u)[1; c](x; t) = f[1; c](x; (1-u)t), \end{cases}$$

with $u \in [0, 1]$ and $\{f\}$ a point in the relative loop space.

Assume that the map $\iota_{k;l-1}$ is a weak equivalence and g is a point in the space $\Omega(Operad(\mathcal{BV}_k(O)[l-1]; O'_c); Op[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_\emptyset(O)_k[l-1]; O'))$. Let F_1 and F_2 be the fiber over g and $\iota_{k;l-1}(g)$ respectively. So, the map $\iota_{k;l}$ is a weak equivalence if the map between the fibers ι_g is a weak equivalence. By using the pullback diagrams 47 and 48, one has

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 F_1 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & Seq^g((V_k[l]; \partial V_k^1[l]); O') \\
 \downarrow \iota_g & & \downarrow \alpha \\
 F_2 & \xleftarrow{\cong} & Seq^{\iota_{k;l-1}(g)}((V_k^2[l]; \partial V_k^2[l]); O')
 \end{array}$$

The image of α is the subspace formed by maps $\{f\}$ satisfying the relation $f[|I_c|; c](x; t) = f[|I_c|; c](x; 0)$ for $x \in V_k[l](I_c, \emptyset; c)$ and $t \in [0, 1]$. Since F_2 is the fiber over $\iota_{k;l-1}(g)$, a point $\{f\} \in Seq^{\iota_{k;l-1}(g)}((V_k^2[l]; \partial V_k^2[l]); O')$ satisfies the relation

$$f[|I_c|; c](x; t) = f[|I_c|; c](x; 0), \quad \text{for } x \in \partial V_k[l](I_c, \emptyset; c).$$

So, α is a homotopy equivalence and the homotopy H' below is well defined:

$$\begin{cases} H'(\{f\}; u)[I_c, I_o; c](x; 1) = f[I_c, I_o; c](x; 1), \\ H'(\{f\}; u)[|I_c|; c](x; 1) = f[|I_c|; c](x; (1-u)t), \end{cases}$$

with $u \in [0, 1]$ and $\{f\}$ a point in the space $Seq^{\iota_{k;l-1}(g)}((V_k^2[l]; \partial V_k^2[l]); O')$. Thus proves that the map ι is a weak equivalence. $\square$

The next theorem is the main result of this paper. It is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.3. Indeed, according to Theorem 3.1, we identify explicit loop spaces from maps between operads. Similarly, we identify relative loop spaces in Theorem 4.3 which are compatible with the loops spaces in the sense that they form typical algebras over the Swiss-Cheese operad $\mathcal{SC}_1$.

Theorem 4.19. Let O be a well pointed Σ -cofibrant operad. Let $\eta : \mathcal{L}(O) \rightarrow O'$ be a map in the category $Op[O; O]$ in which the spaces $O(1)$ and $O'_c(1)$ are contractible. Then, the pair of topological spaces

$$\left(Bimod_O^h(O; O'_c) ; Bimod_O^h(O; \mathcal{R}(O')) \right)$$

is weakly equivalent to the SC_1 -algebra

$$\left(\Omega \left(Operad^h(O; O'_c) \right) ; \Omega \left(Operad^h(O; O'_c) ; Op[O; \emptyset]^h(\mathcal{L}(O; O; O) ; O') \right) \right).$$

Corollary 4.20. Let $\eta_1 : O \rightarrow O'$ be a map of operads and $\eta_2 : O' \rightarrow M$ be a map of O' -bimodules. Assume that O is a well pointed Σ -cofibrant operad and the spaces $O(1)$ and $O'(1)$ are contractible. Then, the pair of topological spaces

$$\left(Bimod_O^h(O; O') ; Bimod_O^h(O; M) \right)$$

is weakly equivalent to the SC_1 -algebra

$$\left(\Omega \left(Operad^h(O; O') \right) ; \Omega \left(Operad^h(O; O') ; Op[O; \emptyset]^h(\mathcal{L}(O; O; O) ; \mathcal{L}(M; O'; O')) \right) \right).$$

Proof. As shown in Remark 4.5, the maps $\eta_1 : O \rightarrow O'$ and $\eta_2 : O' \rightarrow M$ induce a map of $\{o; c\}$ -operads $f : \mathcal{L}(O; O; O) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(M; O'; O')$. So, the corollary is a consequence of Theorem 4.19 applied to the map f . $\square$

4.4 Truncated version of the relative delooping

In what follows, we denote by $T_k Op[P; Q]$ the category of k -truncated $\{o; c\}$ -operads under $T_k(P \oplus Q)$. This section is devoted to the proof of the following theorem which is a truncated version of Theorem 4.3:

Theorem 4.21. Let O be a well pointed operad and $\eta : \mathcal{L}(O) \rightarrow O'$ be a map in the category $Op[O; O]$. If O is Σ -cofibrant, then the following weak equivalence holds:

$$T_k Bimod_O^h(T_k(O); T_k(\mathcal{R}(O'))) \simeq \Omega \left(T_k Operad^h(T_k(O); T_k(O'_c)); T_k Op[O; \emptyset]^h(T_k(\mathcal{L}(O)); T_k(O')) \right).$$

For this purpose, we need a cofibrant replacement of $T_k(\mathcal{L}(O; O; O))$ in the category $T_k Op[O; \mathcal{BV}_k(O)]$. So, we change slightly the filtration of $\mathcal{B}_0(O)$ introduced in Section 4.2. The definition of prime points and composite points in $\mathcal{B}_0(O)$ are still the same. Nevertheless, we consider two kinds of prime component. First, there are prime components in $\mathcal{B}_0(O)$ considered in Section 4.2. Then, there are prime components in $\mathcal{BV}(O)$ coming from sub-trees above the section whose trunks are indexed by 1. So, a prime point is said to be in the n -th filtration term $\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_n$ if the number of its geometrical inputs is smaller than n . Besides, a composite point is said to be in the n -th filtration term if its prime components are in $\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_n$ or $\mathcal{BV}_k(O)$. Finally, $\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_n$ is a $(O\text{-}\mathcal{BV}_k(O))$ bimodule and we denote by $\mathcal{BV}_0^k(O)$ the following $\{o; c\}$ -operad:

$$\mathcal{BV}_0^k(O) := \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k; O; \mathcal{BV}_k(O)).$$

Lemma 4.22. $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)$ is a cofibrant replacement of $T_k(O)$ in the category of k truncated $(O\text{-}\mathcal{BV}_k(O))$ bimodules.

Proof. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.12, $\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k$ is cofibrant in the category of $(O\text{-}\mathcal{BV}_k(O))$ bimodules. So, $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)$ is cofibrant in the category of k truncated $(O\text{-}\mathcal{BV}_k(O))$ bimodules. Furthermore, the restriction of the homotopy inverse introduced in Lemma 4.14 gives rise a map $\mu'' : \mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k \rightarrow O$. In what follows, we prove that $T_k(\mu'')$ is a weak equivalence.

Let $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[l]$ be the sub-sequence formed by points having at most l vertices above the section. Due to the axiom (iii) of Construction 2.9, $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[l]$ doesn't have univalent pearl. From the identification (38), $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[0]$ is equivalent to its sub-sequence formed by points whose pearls are labelled by the unit $*_O \in O(1)$. Consequently, $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[0]$ is homotopy equivalent to $T_k(O)$ and the homotopy consists in bringing the real numbers indexing the vertices below the section to 1.

According to the notation introduced in Section 2.3, let $\mathbf{stree}_{k;l}$ be the set of planar trees with section having at most k leaves and exactly l vertices above the section. Similarly to the proof of Theorem 2.11, on has the pushout diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{[T; V^p(T)]} (H_2 \times \underline{M})^-(T) & \xrightarrow{\times_{Aut(T; V^p(T))} \Sigma_{[T]}} & \coprod_{[T; V^p(T)]} (H_2(T) \times \underline{M}(T)) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[l-1] & \xrightarrow{\quad \quad \quad} & T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[l] \end{array} \quad (49)$$

where the disjoint union is along the isomorphism classes of $\mathbf{stree}_{k;l}$. The space $H_2(T)$ is the space of parametrizations of the vertices below the section and the inner edges above the section by real numbers satisfying the restriction coming from the construction of $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)$. Similarly, $H_2^-(T)$ is the subspace of $H_2(T)$ having at least one inner edge above the section indexed by 0.

Similar arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2.11 imply that the horizontal maps of Diagram (49) are acyclic Σ -cofibrations if the inclusion from $H_2^-(T)$ to $H_2(T)$ is an acyclic cofibration. Since $H_2^-(T) \rightarrow H_2(T)$ is an inclusion of CW-complex, this map is a cofibration. Moreover, $H_2(T)$ is obviously contractible and the homotopy consists in bringing the parameters to 1. For the space $H_2^-(T)$, there are two cases to consider. First, there is no univalent vertex above the section. In that case, $H_2^-(T)$ is contractible and the homotopy is the same as the previous one.

In the second case, there is at least one univalent vertex above the section. Hence, the homotopy consists in bringing the real number indexing the output edge of the univalent vertex to 0. This homotopy is well define since univalent vertices doesn't change the number of geometrical inputs. Thereafter, we bring all the other vertices to 1. Finally, we proved that the map $T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[l-1] \rightarrow T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[l]$ is an acyclic Σ -cofibration. In particular, one has

$$T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k)[0] \xrightarrow{\simeq} T_k(\mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k) \xrightarrow{T_k(\mu_k'')} T_k(O).$$

$\simeq$

From the **2to3** axiom in model category theory, $T_k(\mu_k'')$ is a weak equivalence in the category of k truncated sequences. Finally, it is also a weak equivalence in the category of k truncated $(O\text{-}\mathcal{BV}_k(O))$ bimodules. $\square$

Lemma 4.23. $T_k(\mathcal{BV}_0^k(O))$ is a cofibrant replacement of $T_k(\mathcal{L}(O))$ in the category $T_k\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]$.

Proof. Since the functor $\mathcal{L}$ preserves cofibrant objects, $\mathcal{BV}_0^k(O)$ is cofibrant in the categories $\text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}_k(O)]$ and $\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]$. Consequently, $T_k(\mathcal{BV}_0^k(O))$ is also cofibrant in $T_k\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]$. Furthermore, the restriction of the homotopy inverse introduced in Lemma 4.14 gives rise a map $\mu'' : \mathcal{B}_0^k(O)_k \rightarrow O$. There is also a map $\mu_k : \mathcal{BV}_k(O) \rightarrow O$ which sends the real numbers indexing the inner edges to 0. As shown in Remark 4.5, the maps μ'' and μ_k induce an $\{o; c\}$ -operadic map $\beta : \mathcal{BV}_0^k(O) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(O)$. Similarly to the proof of Lemma 4.22, the reader can check that the map $T_k(\beta)$ is a weak equivalence. $\square$

Proof of Theorem 4.21. Since the arguments are the same as in the previous sections, we only give the main steps of the proof. One has the following weak equivalences:

$$T_k\text{Bimod}_O^h(T_k(O); T_k(\mathcal{R}(O'))) \simeq \text{Bimod}_O(\mathcal{B}_k(O); \mathcal{R}(O')), \quad (1)$$

$$\simeq \text{Op}[O; O](\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{B}_k(O); O; O); O'), \quad (2)$$

$$\simeq \text{Op}[O; \mathcal{BV}_k(O)](\mathcal{BV}_0^k(O); O'), \quad (3)$$

$$\simeq \Omega(\text{Operad}(\mathcal{BV}_k(O); O_c); \text{Op}[O; \emptyset](\mathcal{BV}_0^k(O); O')), \quad (4)$$

$$\simeq \Omega(T_k\text{Operad}^h(T_k(O); T_k(O_c)); T_k\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]^h(T_k(\mathcal{L}(O)); T_k(O'))). \quad (5)$$

Steps (1) and (5) are consequences of the cofibrant replacements introduced in Theorem 2.11 and Lemma 4.23 respectively. Step (2) is a consequence of the adjunction $(\mathcal{L}; \mathcal{R})$. Finally, the proofs of steps (3) and (4) are equivalent to the proofs of Proposition 4.17 and Proposition 4.18 respectively. $\square$

Theorem 4.24. Let O be a well pointed Σ -cofibrant operad. Let $\eta : \mathcal{L}(O) \rightarrow O'$ be a map in the category $\text{Op}[O; O']$ in which the spaces $O(1)$ and $O'(1)$ are contractible. Then, the pair of topological spaces

$$(T_k\text{Bimod}_O^h(T_k(O); T_k(O_c)); T_k\text{Bimod}_O^h(T_k(O); T_k(\mathcal{R}(O'))))$$

is weakly equivalent to the $\mathcal{SC}_1$ -algebra

$$\left(\Omega(T_k\text{Operad}^h(T_k(O); T_k(O_c))); \Omega(T_k\text{Operad}^h(T_k(O); T_k(O_c)); T_k\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]^h(T_k(\mathcal{L}(O)); T_k(O'))) \right).$$

Corollary 4.25. Let $\eta_1 : O \rightarrow O'$ be a map of operads and $\eta_2 : O' \rightarrow M$ be a map of O' -bimodules. Assume that O is a well pointed Σ -cofibrant operad and the spaces $O(1)$ and $O'(1)$ are contractible. Then, the pair of topological spaces

$$(T_k\text{Bimod}_O^h(T_k(O); T_k(O'))); T_k\text{Bimod}_O^h(T_k(O); T_k(M))$$

is weakly equivalent to the $\mathcal{SC}_1$ -algebra

$$\left(\Omega(T_k\text{Operad}^h(T_k(O); T_k(O'))) \right); \Omega(T_k\text{Operad}^h(T_k(O); T_k(O'))); T_k\text{Op}[O; \emptyset]^h(T_k(\mathcal{L}(O)); T_k(\mathcal{L}(M; O'; O')))).$$

4.5 Generalization using Dwyer-Hess' conjecture

In this section we use the previous theorems in order to identify algebras over the Swiss-Cheese operad $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ from maps between $\{o; c\}$ -operads. For this purpose, we will assume a conjecture introduced by Dwyer and Hess and proved by Boavida and Weiss [5] in the context of embedding spaces. It means that the following conjecture is only proved for $M = C_n$ with $n > d$:

Conjecture 4.26. *Let $\eta : C_d \rightarrow M$ be a map of C_d -bimodules with $M(0) \simeq *$. one has*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(C_d; M) &\simeq \Omega^d(\text{Bimod}_{C_d}^h(C_d; M)) \\ T_k \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(M)) &\simeq \Omega^d(T_k \text{Bimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(M))) \end{aligned}$$

In what follows, the theorems and corollaries are direct consequences of the statements introduced in the previous sections together with the Dwyer-Hess' conjecture. We denote by CC_d the two-coloured operad defined as follows:

$$CC_d = \mathcal{L}(C_d; C_d; C_d).$$

Theorem 4.27. *Assume that Conjecture 4.26 is true. Let $\eta : CC_d \rightarrow O$ be a map in the category $\text{Op}[C_d; C_d]$, with $O(; c) \simeq O(c; c) \simeq *$ and $O(; o) \simeq *$. Then, the pair of topological spaces*

$$\left(\text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(C_d; O_c); \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(C_d; \mathcal{R}(O)) \right)$$

is weakly equivalent to the $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebra

$$\left(\Omega^{d+1}(\text{Operad}^h(C_d; O_c)); \Omega^{d+1}(\text{Operad}^h(C_d; O_c); \text{Op}[C_d; \emptyset]^h(CC_d; O)) \right).$$

Corollary 4.28. *Let $\eta_1 : C_d \rightarrow O$ be a map of operads and $\eta_2 : O \rightarrow M$ be a map of O -bimodules. Assume that the spaces $O(0)$, $O(1)$ and $M(0)$ are contractible. Then, the pair of topological spaces*

$$\left(\text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(C_d; O); \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(C_d; M) \right)$$

is weakly equivalent to the $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebra

$$\left(\Omega^{d+1}(\text{Operad}^h(C_d; O)); \Omega^{d+1}(\text{Operad}^h(C_d; O); \text{Op}[C_d; \emptyset]^h(CC_d; \mathcal{L}(M; O; O))) \right).$$

Theorem 4.29. *Assume that Conjecture 4.26 is true. Let $\eta : CC_d \rightarrow O$ be a map in the category $\text{Op}[C_d; C_d]$, with $O(; c) \simeq O(c; c) \simeq *$ and $O(; o) \simeq *$. Then, the pair of topological spaces*

$$\left(T_k \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O_c)); T_k \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(\mathcal{R}(O))) \right)$$

is weakly equivalent to the $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebra

$$\left(\Omega^{d+1}(T_k \text{Operad}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O_c))); \Omega^{d+1}(T_k \text{Operad}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O_c)); T_k \text{Op}[C_d; \emptyset]^h(T_k(CC_d); T_k(O))) \right).$$

Corollary 4.30. *Let $\eta_1 : C_d \rightarrow O$ be a map of operads and $\eta_2 : O \rightarrow M$ be a map of O -bimodules. Assume that the spaces $O(0)$, $O(1)$ and $M(0)$ are contractible. Then, the pair of topological spaces*

$$\left(T_k \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O)); T_k \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(M)) \right)$$

is weakly equivalent to the $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebra

$$\left(\Omega^{d+1}(T_k \text{Operad}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O))); \Omega^{d+1}(T_k \text{Operad}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(O)); T_k \text{Op}[C_d; \emptyset]^h(T_k(CC_d); T_k(\mathcal{L}(M; O; O)))) \right).$$

5 Application to the spaces of embeddings and (l) -immersions

In [24], Sinha proves that the space of long embeddings $\overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^1; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is weakly equivalent to the homotopy totalization of the Kontsevich operad. As a consequence, $\overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^1; \mathbb{R}^n)$ is weakly equivalent to an explicit double loop space. For the space of long knots in higher dimension, defined by the homotopy fiber

$$\overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) := \text{hofib}(\text{Emb}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \text{Imm}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)), \quad (50)$$

there is no cosimplicial replacement known. However, Arone and Turchin develop in [1] a machinery, based on the Goodwillie calculus [28], in order to identify embedding spaces with spaces of infinitesimal bimodules over the little cubes operad. In this section, we recall the notion of embedding calculus as well as Arone and Turchin's results. Then, we give an application to the space of (l) -immersions assuming the Dwyer-Hess' conjecture.

5.1 The Goodwillie Calculus

Let M be a smooth manifold of dimension d . Let $\mathcal{O}(M)$ be the poset of open subsets of M . For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{O}_k(M)$ is formed by elements in $\mathcal{O}(M)$ homeomorphic to a disjoint union of at most k open disks in $\mathbb{R}^d$. From a contravariant functor $F : \mathcal{O}(M) \rightarrow \text{Top}$, Weiss introduces in [28] the functor $T_k F : \mathcal{O}(M) \rightarrow \text{Top}$ as follows:

$$T_k F(U) := \text{holim}_{V \in \mathcal{O}_k(U)} F(V).$$

The functor $T_k F$ is called the k -th polynomial approximation of F . The restriction to $\mathcal{O}_{k-1}(M)$ induces a natural transformation $T_k F \rightarrow T_{k-1} F$. There is a tower, called the *Taylor tower*, associated to the functor F :

$$\begin{array}{c} F \\ \swarrow \downarrow \searrow \\ T_0 F \longleftarrow T_1 F \longleftarrow T_2 F \longleftarrow T_3 F \longleftarrow \dots \end{array}$$

In good cases, the homotopy limit of the Taylor tower, denoted by $T_\infty F$, is a functor equivalent to F (i.e. $\forall U \in \mathcal{O}(M)$, $T_\infty F(U) \simeq F(U)$) and one says that the Taylor tower converges.

Taylor towers have been used by Goodwillie [12, 13, 14] and Weiss [15, 28] in order to study embedding spaces. The idea is to build a contravariant functor

$$\text{Emb}(-; N) : \mathcal{O}(M) \rightarrow \text{Top},$$

where N is a smooth manifold of dimension n . In a similar way, one can study the space of immersions $\text{Imm}(M; N)$ as well as the space of long embeddings defined as follows:

$$\overline{\text{Emb}}(M; N) := \text{hofib}(\text{Emb}(M; N) \rightarrow \text{Imm}(M; N)).$$

Theorem 5.1. [11] *Let M and N be two smooth manifolds of dimension d and n respectively. If $n - d - 2 > 0$, then the Taylor towers associated to the functors $\text{Emb}(-; N)$, $\text{Imm}(-; N)$ and $\overline{\text{Emb}}(-; N)$ converge.*

If one considers the embedding spaces and immersion spaces with compact support, then one has to change slightly the construction of the Taylor towers. Let M be the complementary of a compact subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let $\mathcal{O}'(M)$ be the poset of open subsets of M of the form $V \cup W$ with W the complementary of a closed disk, $V \in \mathcal{O}(M)$ and $V \cap W = \emptyset$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathcal{O}'_k(M)$ is formed by points $V \cup W$ with $V \in \mathcal{O}_k(M)$. From a contravariant functor $F : \mathcal{O}(M) \rightarrow \text{Top}$, the k -th polynomial approximation functor of F , denoted $T_k F : \mathcal{O}'(M) \rightarrow \text{Top}$ by abuse of notation, is defined as follows:

$$T_k F(U) := \text{holim}_{V \in \mathcal{O}'_k(U)} F(V).$$

Theorem 5.2. [11] *Let M be the complementary of a compact subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$. If $n - d - 2 > 0$, then the Taylor towers associated to the functors $\text{Emb}_c(-; \mathbb{R}^n)$, $\text{Imm}_c(-; \mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\overline{\text{Emb}}_c(-; \mathbb{R}^n)$ converge. In particular, the Taylor tower associated to the space of long knots in higher dimension (50) converges.*

5.2 Connections between Taylor towers and infinitesimal bimodules

A standard isomorphism in $\mathbb{R}^d$ arises from an affine embedding preserving the direction of the axes. Let $A = \cup_{s \in S} A_s$ be a disjoint union of open subsets of $\mathbb{R}^d$ indexed by a set S and let M be a subspace of $\mathbb{R}^d$. A map $f : A \rightarrow M$ is called a *standard embedding* if f is an embedding such that, for each $s \in S$, the composite map $A_s \rightarrow M \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ is an inclusion in $\mathbb{R}^d$ followed by to a standard isomorphism. We denote the space of standard embeddings from A to M by $s\text{Emb}(A; M)$.

Definition 5.3. Let M be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$. The sequence $s\text{Emb}(-; M)$ is given by

$$s\text{Emb}(-; M)(k) = s\text{Emb}(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^k C^d; M),$$

where C^d is the unit little cube of dimension d . For $M = C^d$, the sequence $s\text{Emb}(-; C^d)$ is the little cubes operad C_d . In general, $s\text{Emb}(-; M)$ is only a right C_d -module whose operations

$$\circ^i : s\text{Emb}(-; M)(n) \times C_d(m) \rightarrow s\text{Emb}(-; M)(n + m - 1)$$

are induced by the composition with the standard embeddings of C_d .

Theorem 5.4. [1, Theorem 5.10] Let M be an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$ with $d < n$. There are the weak equivalences:

$$\begin{cases} T_\infty \overline{\text{Emb}}(M; \mathbb{R}^n) & \simeq \text{Rmod}_{C_d}^h(\text{sEmb}(-; M); C_n), \\ T_k \overline{\text{Emb}}(M; \mathbb{R}^n) & \simeq T_k \text{Rmod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(\text{sEmb}(-; M)); T_k(C_n)), \end{cases}$$

where $\text{Rmod}_{C_d}^h$ and $\text{Rmod}_{C_d}^h$ are the categories of right modules and k truncated right modules over C_d respectively. In the particular case $M = C_d$, one has

$$\begin{cases} T_\infty \overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) & \simeq \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(C_d; C_n), \\ T_k \overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) & \simeq T_k \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(C_n)). \end{cases}$$

Finally, if M is the complementary of a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^d$, then there are the weak equivalences

$$\begin{cases} T_\infty \overline{\text{Emb}}_c(M; \mathbb{R}^n) & \simeq \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(\text{sEmb}(-; M); C_n), \\ T_k \overline{\text{Emb}}_c(M; \mathbb{R}^n) & \simeq T_k \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(\text{sEmb}(-; M)); T_k(C_n)). \end{cases}$$

Corollary 5.5. Assume Conjecture 4.26 is true. If $n - d - 2 > 0$, then one has

$$\overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \Omega^{d+1} \text{Operad}^h(C_d; C_n) \quad \text{and} \quad T_k \overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \Omega^{d+1}(T_k \text{Operad}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(C_n))).$$

Proof. It is a consequence of Conjecture 4.26 together with Theorem 5.2 and 5.4. $\square$

5.3 The space of (l) -immersions

The space of (l) -immersions, denoted by $\text{Imm}_c^{(k)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)$, is the subspace of $\text{Imm}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)$ of immersions f such that for each subset of l distinct elements $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the restriction $f|_K$ is non constant. In particular, the space of (2)-immersions is the embedding space $\text{Emb}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)$. The spaces of (l) -immersions give rise a filtration of the inclusion from the space of embeddings to the space of immersions:

$$\text{Emb}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \text{Imm}_c^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Imm}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow \text{Imm}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

The space of long (l) -immersions is defined by the following homotopy fiber:

$$\overline{\text{Imm}}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) := \text{hofib}\left(\text{Imm}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \longrightarrow \text{Imm}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n)\right).$$




Figure 28: Illustration of a point in $\overline{\text{Imm}}_c^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}^1; \mathbb{R}^3)$.

Contrary to the space of long knots in higher dimension, we don't know if the Taylor tower associated to the space of long (l) -immersions converges. Nevertheless, Dobrinskaya and Turchin have been able to identify the homotopy limit of the Taylor tower with a space of infinitesimal bimodule maps by using the non- (l) -overlapping little cubes bimodule.

Theorem 5.6. [7, Theorem 11.2] If $n > d$, then one has the following weak equivalences:

$$T_k \overline{\text{Imm}}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq T_k \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(C_n^{(k)})) \quad \text{and} \quad T_\infty \overline{\text{Imm}}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n) \simeq \text{Ibimod}_{C_d}^h(C_d; C_n^{(k)}).$$

As shown in Section 1.1, the non- (l) -overlapping little cubes bimodule is not an operad. Nevertheless, the inclusion $\eta_2 : C_n \rightarrow C_n^{(k)}$ preserves the C_n -bimodule structures. So, Corollaries 4.28 and 4.30, applied to the bimodule map η_2 and the operadic map $\eta_1 : C_d \rightarrow C_n$, imply the following theorem:

Theorem 5.7. *Assume that Dwyer-Hess' conjecture 4.26 is true. If $n - d - 2 > 0$, then the pair of spaces*

$$(\overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n); T_\infty \overline{\text{Imm}}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n))$$

is weakly equivalent to the $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebra

$$\left(\Omega^{d+1} \text{Operad}^h(C_d; C_n); \Omega^{d+1} \left(\text{Operad}^h(C_d; C_n); \text{Op}[C_d; \emptyset]^h(CC_d; \mathcal{L}(C_n^{(l)}; C_n; C_n)) \right) \right).$$

Similarly, the pair of k polynomial approximation

$$(T_k \overline{\text{Emb}}_c(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n); T_k \overline{\text{Imm}}_c^{(l)}(\mathbb{R}^d; \mathbb{R}^n))$$

is weakly equivalent to the $\mathcal{SC}_{d+1}$ -algebra

$$\left(\Omega^{d+1} \left(T_k \text{Operad}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(C_n)); \Omega^{d+1} \left(T_k \text{Operad}^h(T_k(C_d); T_k(C_n)); T_k \text{Op}[C_d; \emptyset]^h(T_k(CC_d); T_k(\mathcal{L}(C_n^{(l)}; C_n; C_n))) \right) \right) \right).$$

Acknowledgments. I would like to thank Muriel Livernet for her help in preparing this paper and Eric Hoffbeck for many helpful comments. I also wish to express my gratitude to Victor Turchin for suggesting the application to the space of (l) -immersions and ideas which are at the origin of the second version. I am also grateful to the Max Planck Institute for its hospitality and its financial support.

References

- [1] Gregory Arone and Victor Turchin, *On the rational homology of high dimensional analogues of spaces of long knots*, Geometry and Topology **18** (2014), 1261–1322.
- [2] C. Berger and I. Moerdijk, *Axiomatic homotopy theory for operads*, Comment. Math. Helv. **78** (2003), 805–831.
- [3] ———, *The Boardman-Vogt resolution of operads in monoidal model categories*, Topology **45** (2006), no. 5, 807–849.
- [4] J.M. Boardman and R.M. Vogt, *Homotopy invariant algebraic structures on topological spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 347, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1973.
- [5] Pedro Boavida de Brito and Michael Weiss, *Spaces of smooth embeddings and configuration categories*, arXiv:1502.01640 (2015).
- [6] W. Chachólski and J. Scherer, *Homotopy theory of diagrams*, American Mathematical Society **155** (2002).
- [7] N. Dobrinskaya and V. Turchin, *Homology of non- k -overlapping discs*, Homology, Homotopy, and Applications **17** (2015).
- [8] J. Ducoulombier, *Swiss-cheese action on the totalization of action-operads*, Algebraic and Geometric topology (2016).
- [9] W. Dwyer and K. Hess, *Long knots and maps between operads*, Geometry and topology **16** (2012), 919–955.
- [10] Benoit Fresse, *Modules over operads and functors*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1967, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2009.
- [11] T. G. Goodwillie and J. R. Klein, *Multiple disjunction for spaces of smooth embeddings*, Journal of Topology (2015).
- [12] Thomas G. Goodwillie, *Calculus. I. The first derivative of pseudoisotopy theory*, K-Theory **4** (1990), no. 1, 1–27. MR 1076523 (92m:57027)
- [13] ———, *Calculus. II. Analytic functors*, K-Theory **5** (1991/92), no. 4, 295–332. MR 1162445 (93i:55015)
- [14] ———, *Calculus. III. Taylor series*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 645–711. MR 2026544 (2005e:55015)
- [15] Thomas G. Goodwillie and Michael Weiss, *Embeddings from the point of view of immersion theory. II*, Geom. Topol. **3** (1999), 103–118. MR 1694808 (2000c:57055b)

- [16] P. S. Hirschhorn and I. Volic, *Functors between Reedy model categories of diagrams*, ArXiv e-prints (2015).
- [17] Philip S. Hirschhorn, *Model categories and their localizations*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 99, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [18] E. Hoefel, M. Livernet, and J. Stasheff, *A_∞ -actions and Recognition of Relative Loop Spaces*, Topology and its applications **206** (2016).
- [19] Mark Hovey, *Model categories*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 63, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [20] G. M. Kelly, *Basic concepts of enriched category theory*, Lecture Notes Series 64, Cambridge University Press, London Mathematical Society, 2004.
- [21] M. Kontsevich, *Operads and motives in deformations quantization*, Lett. Math. Phys. **48**, 35 – 72.
- [22] J. P. May, *The geometry of iterated loop spaces*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 271, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [23] James E. McClure and Jeffrey H. Smith, *Operads and cosimplicial objects: an introduction*, Axiomatic, enriched and motivic homotopy theory, NATO Sci. Ser. II Math. Phys. Chem., vol. 131, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2004, pp. 133–171.
- [24] Dev P. Sinha, *Operads and knot spaces*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **19** (2006), no. 2, 461–486.
- [25] Victor Turchin, *Delooping totalization of a multiplicative operad*, J. Homotopy Relat. Struct. **9** (2014), no. 2, 349–418. MR 3258687
- [26] R. M. Vogt, *Cofibrant operads and universal E_∞ -operads*, Topology Appl. **133** (2003), no. 1, 69–87.
- [27] Alexander A. Voronov, *The Swiss-cheese operad*, Homotopy invariant algebraic structure (Baltimore, MD, 1998), Contemp. Math., vol. 239, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999, pp. 365–373.
- [28] Michael Weiss, *Embeddings from the point of view of immersion theory. I*, Geom. Topol. **3** (1999), 67–101. MR 1694812 (2000c:57055a)